# Modulus on graphs as a generalization of standard graph theoretic quantities

N. Albin, M. Brunner, R. Perez, P. Poggi-Corradini, N. Weins

Presented by Jason Cory Brunson

September 7, 2016



Managing editor:

Pekka Koskela (University of Jyvaskyla)

Conform. Geom. Dyn. (2015) 19: 298-317

J. J. Potterat et al, Sexually Transmitted Infections, 780 (20 2). Pp. i159-i163

## SIAM Workshop on Network Science

July 15-16, 2016 The Westin Boston Waterfront, Boston, Massachusetts, USA

Co-chairs:

- John Gilbert (UCSB)
- Blair D. Sullivan (NCSU)

How "easily" can individual s transmit a disease to individual t?



Shortest path?

$$\ell_{u,s} = 1, \quad \ell_{s,t} = 1, \quad \ell_{t,v} = 6$$

Number of paths (maximum flow)?

$$f_{u,s} = 1, \quad f_{s,t} = 3, \quad f_{t,v} = 3$$

Resistance distance (resistance in the resistor network)?

$$\Omega_{u,s} = 1, \quad \Omega_{s,t} = 0.454, \quad \Omega_{t,v} = 1.918$$

# Modulus of a family of curves $\leftarrow$ {Domains in $\mathbb{C}$ } $\downarrow$ $\uparrow$

#### p-Modulus of a family of walks

 $\{Graphs\}$ 

Example:

$$D = \{z \mid 0 \le \operatorname{Re}(z) \le \ell, \ 0 \le \operatorname{Im}(z) \le k\}$$
  
$$\Gamma = \{\text{curves from the left side of } D \text{ to the right}^{\mathsf{T}}$$



#### How "constrained" is someone traveling $\Gamma$ ?

Moduli of Families of Curves for Conformal and Quasiconformal Mappings (Springer–Verlag, 2015)

Let

- $D \subseteq \overline{\mathbb{C}}$  be a domain
- $\Gamma$  be a family of paths  $\gamma \subset D$
- $P \subset L^2(D)$  be the integrable real non-negative functions Define
  - ▶ the ho-length  $\ell_
    ho(\gamma) := \int_\gamma 
    ho(z) |dz|$
  - ► admissible functions  $A(\Gamma) = \{\rho \in \mathsf{P} \mid \forall \ \gamma \in \Gamma, \ \ell_{\rho}(\gamma) \ge 1\}$

• the 
$$ho$$
-area  $\mathcal{A}_
ho(D):= \iint_D 
ho(z)^2 dxdy$ 

the modulus of Γ in D

$$\mathsf{Mod}(D, \Gamma) := \inf_{
ho \in \mathcal{A}(\Gamma)} \mathcal{A}_{
ho}(D)$$



Exercise: 
$$Mod(D, \Gamma) = \frac{k}{\ell}$$
.  
 $\leq$ : Use  $\rho^*(z) \equiv \frac{1}{\ell}$  and check that  $\rho^* \in A(\Gamma)$ .  
 $\geq$ : Verify  $\mathcal{A}_{\rho}(D) \geq k$  in order to get

$$\iint_D (\frac{1}{\ell} - \rho(z))^2 dx dy \ge 0.$$

Idea:  $Mod(D, \Gamma)$  measures

- ▶ the "richness" per "distance" of Γ
- $\blacktriangleright$  the "ease" or absence of "constraint" along  $\Gamma$

Note: the **extremal length** of  $\Gamma$  in D

$$\frac{1}{\mathsf{Mod}(D, \Gamma)} = \sup_{\rho} \frac{(\inf_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \ell_{\rho}(\gamma))^2}{\mathcal{A}_{\rho}(D)}$$

then measures the constraint or difficulty of  $\boldsymbol{\Gamma}$ 

Acta Mathematica (1950) 83(1): 101–129

Let

- $G = (V, E, \sigma)$  be a (directed, weighted) graph
- $\Gamma$  be a family of walks  $\gamma = e_1 e_2 \cdots e_r$  on G
- $\mathsf{P} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{E}$  be the real non-negative edge densities
- ▶  $1 \le p < \infty$

Define

- the ho-length  $\ell_{
  ho}(\gamma) := \sum_{i=1}^{r} 
  ho(e_i)$
- ► admissible densities  $A(\Gamma) := \{\rho \in \mathsf{P} \mid \forall \gamma \in \Gamma, \ \ell_{\rho}(\gamma) \geq 1\}$
- ▶ the *p*-energy  $\mathcal{E}^{(p)}_{
  ho}(G) := \sum_{e \in E} \sigma(e) |
  ho(e)|^p$
- ▶ the *p*-modulus of Γ on G

$$\operatorname{\mathsf{Mod}}_p(G,\Gamma):=\inf_{
ho\in\mathcal{A}(\Gamma)}\mathcal{E}^{(p)}_{
ho}(G)$$

How "constrained" is the transmission from s to t?



Exercise: 
$$\operatorname{Mod}_{\rho}(G, \Gamma) = \frac{k}{\ell^{p-1}}$$
.  
 $\leq$ : Use  $\rho^{*}(e) \equiv \frac{1}{\ell}$  and check that  $\rho^{*} \in A(\Gamma)$ .  
 $\geq$ : Check that  $\rho^{*}$  is extremal, i.e. that  
 $\forall \rho' \in A(\Gamma), \ \mathcal{E}_{\rho'}^{(p)}(G) \geq \mathcal{E}_{\rho^{*}}^{(p)}(G)$ .

### Connecting Family Theorem (2/3): Let

- $G = (V, E, \sigma)$  be undirected
- $\Gamma(s, t)$  be the family of walks from s to t

Then

- $Mod_1(G, \Gamma(s, t)) = the maximum flow from s to t.$
- Mod<sub>2</sub>(G, Γ(s, t)) = the effective conductance btw s and t.

Example:



#### Lemma: Let

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A}'(\Gamma) &:= \{\rho : E \to \mathbb{R} \mid \ell_{\rho}(\Gamma) \ge 1\} \\ \supset \mathcal{A}(\Gamma) &:= \{\rho : E \to \mathbb{R}_{\ge 0} \mid \ell_{\rho}(\Gamma) \ge 1\} \\ \supset \mathcal{A}^{*}(\Gamma) &:= \{\rho : E \to [0,1] \mid \ell_{\rho}(\Gamma) \ge 1\} \end{aligned}$$

For all  $p \ge 0$ ,

$$\inf_{\rho\in A'(\Gamma)}\mathcal{E}^{(p)}_{\rho}(G)=\inf_{\rho\in A(\Gamma)}\mathcal{E}^{(p)}_{\rho}(G)=\inf_{\rho\in A^*(\Gamma)}\mathcal{E}^{(p)}_{\rho}(G)$$

#### Lemma:

- There exists an extremal density  $\rho^* : E \to \mathbb{R}$ .
- If  $1 , then <math>\rho^*$  is unique.

**Theorem** (Albin, Poggi–Corradini, Sahneh, Goering): There exists an *essential subfamily*  $\Gamma^* \subseteq \Gamma$  for which

- Γ\* is finite
- $A(\Gamma^*) = A(\Gamma)$

**Theorem** (Albin, Poggi–Corradini): There exists a *minimal* subfamily  $\tilde{\Gamma} \subseteq \Gamma$  for which

• 
$$\operatorname{Mod}_p(G, \tilde{\Gamma}) = \operatorname{Mod}_p(G, \Gamma)$$

► For all  $\gamma \in \tilde{\Gamma}$ ,  $\mathsf{Mod}_p(G, \tilde{\Gamma} \smallsetminus \{\gamma\}) < \mathsf{Mod}_p(G, \Gamma)$ 

**Algorithm 1** Approx.  $Mod_p(\Gamma)$  with error tolerance  $0 < \epsilon_{tol} < 1$ .

 $\begin{array}{ll} \rho \leftarrow 0 \\ \Gamma' \leftarrow \varnothing \\ \mbox{loop} \\ \gamma \leftarrow {\rm shortest}(\rho) & \triangleright \mbox{ e.g. Dijkstra's algorithm} \\ \mbox{if } \ell_{\rho}(\gamma)^{p} \geq 1 - \epsilon_{\rm tol} \mbox{ then} \\ & {\rm stop} \\ \mbox{end if} \\ \Gamma' \leftarrow \Gamma' \cup \{\gamma\} \\ \rho \leftarrow {\rm argmin}\{\mathcal{E}_{\rho}(\rho) \mid \rho \in A(\Gamma')\} \\ \end{array} \qquad \rhd \mbox{ Convex optimization} \\ \mbox{end loop} \end{array}$ 

**Theorem**: The output  $\Gamma'$  and  $\rho$  satisfy

$$\frac{\mathsf{Mod}_{p}(G,\Gamma) - \mathsf{Mod}_{p}(G,\Gamma')}{\mathsf{Mod}_{p}(G,\Gamma)} \leq \epsilon_{\mathsf{tol}}, \quad \frac{||\rho^{*} - \rho||_{p}}{||\rho^{*}||_{p}} \leq \begin{cases} 2^{1-1/p}\epsilon_{\mathsf{tol}}^{1/p} & p \geq 2\\ \left(\frac{2}{p-1}\epsilon_{\mathsf{tol}}\right)^{1-1/p} & p < 2 \end{cases}$$

Let

- $\Gamma$  a family of curves on  $G = (V, E, \sigma)$
- ▶  $\rho \in A(\Gamma)$

Define

• the 
$$\infty$$
-energy  $\mathcal{E}_{\rho}^{(\infty)}(G) := \lim_{p \to \infty} \mathcal{E}_{\rho}^{(p)}(G)^{1/p} = \max_{e \in E} |\rho(e)|$ 

► the ∞-modulus 
$$Mod_{\infty}(G, \Gamma) := \inf_{\rho \in A(\Gamma)} \mathcal{E}_{\rho}^{(\infty)}(G)$$

**Theorem**: 
$$Mod_{\infty}(G, \Gamma) = \frac{1}{\ell(\Gamma)}$$
, where  
 $\ell(\gamma)$  is the (unweighted) length of a walk  $\gamma$   
 $\ell(\Gamma) = \inf_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \ell(\gamma)$ 

### Connecting Family Theorem (3/3): Let

- $G = (V, E, \sigma)$  be undirected
- $\Gamma(s, t)$  be the family of walks from s to t

Then

- $Mod_1(G, \Gamma(s, t)) = maximum flow from s to t.$
- $Mod_2(G, \Gamma(s, t)) = effective conductance between s and t.$
- $Mod_{\infty}(G, \Gamma(s, t)) = \frac{1}{\text{unweighted distance from } s \text{ to } t.}$

Example:



Application: Vaccination strategies based on 2-modulus centrality versus out-degree in random modular networks



J. Comput. Appl. Math. (2016), 307: 307-318

#### Prospect: Transfer network constructed from claims data



PLoS ONE (2012) 7(4): e35002

Interpretation: Expected usage under energy minimization

Theorem (Albin, Poggi–Corradini): Let

*N* = *N*(γ, e) ∈ N<sup>|Γ|×|E|</sup> be the number of steps of γ along e
 μ : Γ → [0, 1] range over the probability mass functions on Γ

► 
$$\operatorname{Mod}_2(G, \Gamma) = \frac{1}{\min_{\mu} \mu' \mathcal{N} \mathcal{N}' \mu} = \frac{1}{\min_{\mu} \sum_e \mathbb{E}[\mathcal{N}(\underline{\gamma}, e)]^2}$$
  
► For all  $e \in E$ ,  $\frac{\rho^*(e)}{\operatorname{Mod}_2(G, \Gamma)} = (\mathcal{N}' \mu^*)(e) = \mathbb{E}_{\mu^*}[\mathcal{N}(\underline{\gamma}, e)]$ 

Example: Edges *e* weighted by  $\frac{\rho^*(e)}{Mod_2(G,\Gamma)}$ 



#### Prospect: Comorbidity network constructed from EHR data



AMIA Jt Summits Transl Sci Proc. (2015): 201-206

Pr = 17.605%

Pr = 15.361%



Pr = 15.21%

Pr = 14.412%



Pr = 12.801%

Pr = 8.603%





Pr = 7.873%

Pr = 4.597%



Pr = 2.092%

Pr = 1.445%



Prospect: Clinical pathways recovered from administrative logs



J. Biomed. Inform. (2015): 58: 186-197

Fin.