Development 140, 1024-1033 (2013) doi:10.1242/dev.083790 © 2013. Published by The Company of Biologists Ltd

FGF signaling induces mesoderm in the hemichordate Saccoglossus kowalevskii

Stephen A. Green^{1,*}, Rachael P. Norris², Mark Terasaki² and Christopher J. Lowe^{1,3,*}

SUMMARY

FGFs act in vertebrate mesoderm induction and also play key roles in early mesoderm formation in ascidians and amphioxus. However, in sea urchins initial characterizations of FGF function do not support a role in early mesoderm induction, making the ancestral roles of FGF signaling and mechanisms of mesoderm specification in deuterostomes unclear. In order to better characterize the evolution of mesoderm formation, we have examined the role of FGF signaling during mesoderm development in *Saccoglossus kowalevskii*, an experimentally tractable representative of hemichordates. We report the expression of an FGF ligand, *fgf8/17/18*, in ectoderm overlying sites of mesoderm specification within the archenteron endomesoderm. Embryological experiments demonstrate that mesoderm induction in the archenteron requires contact with ectoderm, and loss-of-function experiments indicate that both FGF ligand and receptor are necessary for mesoderm. These experiments suggest that FGF signaling is necessary from the earliest stages of mesoderm specification and is required for all mesoderm development. Furthermore, they suggest that the archenteron is competent to form mesoderm or endoderm, and that FGF signaling from the ectoderm defines the location and amount of mesoderm. When considered in a comparative context, these data support a phylogenetically broad requirement for FGF8/17/18 signaling in mesoderm specification and suggest that FGF signaling in deuterostome mesoderm formation.

KEY WORDS: FGF, Deuterostome, Hemichordate, Mesoderm, Mesoderm induction

INTRODUCTION

Fibroblast growth factors (FGFs) and Nodals play crucial roles in mesoderm formation in many vertebrates (Ciruna and Rossant, 2001; Kimelman, 2006). In Xenopus laevis, FGF signaling is necessary for the initiation of Xbrachyury (Xbra) expression (Fletcher and Harland, 2008), which marks early dorsal and posterior mesoderm (Smith et al., 1991). FGF signaling also maintains mesodermal fate (Schulte-Merker and Smith, 1995; Casey et al., 1998), and loss of FGF signaling leads to reductions in posterior mesoderm (including somites and notochord) (Amaya et al., 1991; Conlon et al., 1996; Kumano and Smith, 2000; Kumano and Smith, 2002). The ligand FGF8 is particularly important for this process (Fletcher et al., 2006). FGF8 signaling is also necessary for mesoderm formation in zebrafish, and loss of both Fgf8 and its paralog Fgf24 reduces posterior mesoderm (Draper et al., 2003). FGF signaling is involved in mesoderm formation in invertebrate chordates. In amphioxus, FGF signaling is necessary for development of anterior somites (Bertrand et al., 2011), and in ascidians it is necessary for mesenchyme, notochord and secondary muscle development (Kim and Nishida, 1999; Kim et al., 2000; Darras and Nishida, 2001; Kim and Nishida, 2001; Imai et al., 2002; Miya and Nishida, 2003; Yasuo and Hudson, 2007). The widespread roles of FGF signaling in chordate mesoderm specification suggest that FGF signaling probably acted to specify mesoderm in stem chordates.

*Authors for correspondence (sgreen@caltech.edu; clowe@stanford.edu)

Accepted 14 December 2012

Although mesoderm induction is increasingly well-characterized in chordates, comprehensive studies in other deuterostomes are lacking and experimental analyses are limited to echinoderms. The limited functional studies of FGFs in sea urchins do not support an early role in mesoderm induction (McCoon et al., 1996; McCoon et al., 1998; Röttinger et al., 2008), and instead implicate Delta/Notch signaling (Sherwood and McClay, 1999; Range et al., 2008). Outside of deuterostomes, studies are limited to major ecdysozoan model systems. In Drosophila melanogaster, mesoderm is specified by high activity of the transcription factor Dorsal, which is activated by the Spatzle ligand and Toll receptor (Jiang et al., 1991; Ip et al., 1992), and FGFs act in mesoderm migration, but not in mesoderm induction (Stathopoulos et al., 2004; Kadam et al., 2009; McMahon et al., 2010; Tulin and Stathopoulos, 2010). In Caenorhabditis elegans, mesoderm specification requires Notch signaling (Good et al., 2004), and FGF signaling is involved only in the specification of larval sex myoblasts, a small subset of mesoderm (DeVore et al., 1995; Burdine et al., 1998; Goodman et al., 2003; Lo et al., 2008). Currently, there are no functional data on FGF signaling from any lophotrochozoan phyla. A rigorous test of the evolution of developmental mechanisms regulating mesoderm formation requires broader sampling at key phylogenetic positions.

As sister group to echinoderms and closely related to chordates (Turbeville et al., 1994; Bourlat et al., 2006; Dunn et al., 2008), hemichordates are in a key phylogenetic position to test hypotheses of the early evolution of deuterostome developmental mechanisms (Cameron et al., 2000; Lowe et al., 2006; Brown et al., 2008; Cannon et al., 2009). Despite differences in body plan organization between hemichordates and chordates, they share very similar anteroposterior (Lowe et al., 2003) and dorsoventral patterning (Lowe et al., 2006) and endomesoderm specification (Darras et al., 2011), suggesting that molecular comparisons can provide insights into early deuterostome evolution. In enteropneust hemichordates, a group of solitary, burrowing marine worms, mesoderm derives

¹Department of Organismal Biology and Anatomy, University of Chicago, Chicago, IL 60637, USA. ²Department of Cell Biology, University of Connecticut Health Center, Farmington, CT 06030, USA. ³Department of Biology, Hopkins Marine Station, Stanford University, Pacific Grove, CA 93950, USA.

from five pouches that evaginate from the archenteron in a process called enterocoely (Bateson, 1884); this trait is shared with echinoderms and amphioxus (Conklin, 1932) and is suggested to be primitive for deuterostomes (Remane, 1963; Valentine, 2004). These morphogenetic similarities with echinoderms and basal chordates, and close similarities with chordates in early body plan patterning, suggest that analysis of mesoderm specification in enteropneusts could help reconstruct ancestral deuterostome developmental mechanisms for mesoderm induction.

To investigate a potentially conserved role of FGF signaling in deuterostome mesoderm induction, we examined the role of FGF signaling during early development of the direct-developing hemichordate *Saccoglossus kowalevskii* (Bateson, 1884; Bateson, 1886; Colwin and Colwin, 1953; Lowe et al., 2004; Gerhart et al., 2005; Röttinger and Lowe, 2012). We tested the function of the FGF ligand FGF8/17/18 and the FGF receptor FGFR-B (Rebscher et al., 2009) in hemichordate mesoderm formation, and our work demonstrates that FGF8/17/18 signals from ectoderm to the underlying archenteron to induce mesoderm. These findings suggest that an ortholog of the *fgf8/17/18* subfamily was essential for mesoderm induction in the deuterostome common ancestor and have important implications for the evolution of mesoderm induction.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Embryonic culture

Adult hemichordates were collected from Waquoit Bay, MA, USA. Fertilization and embryonic maintenance were performed as described by Lowe et al. (Lowe et al., 2004). For chemical treatments, embryos were immersed in filtered seawater containing either SU5402 (Mohammadi et al., 1997) or U0126 (Favata et al., 1998) (both Calbiochem) dissolved in DMSO. Control embryos were treated with DMSO. Inhibitor treatments were changed approximately every 12 hours.

Surgeries

Fertilization envelopes were removed with forceps and embryos were cultured in filtered seawater supplemented with 50 μ g/ml gentamycin sulfate (FSW + GS). Embryos at the flat-plate gastrula stage were dissected on clay dishes in FSW + GS. The vegetal explants include archenteron endomesoderm and surrounding (posterior) ectoderm. Animal explants are composed only of ectoderm.

Alignment and phylogenetic trees

Protein sequences were obtained from Pubmed, and aligned using MegAlign ver. 8.1.4 (DNAStar), using a BLOSUM series and default CLUSTALW alignment parameters. Neighbor-joining cladograms for *mPrx, mesp, six1, snail, mLim, mlca2, zic* and *foxA* trees were made using MEGA 4.0 with a JTT model of molecular evolution, bootstrapped with 2000 iterations, and are shown in supplementary material Fig. S1 (Tamura et al., 2007). The *fgf8/17/18* gene tree was made with MrBayes (Ronquist et al., 2012), using a mixed model of protein evolution, and with a Maximum Likelihood model, using PhyML 3.0 (Guindon and Gascuel, 2003). Accession numbers for all sequences are shown in supplementary material Table S1.

Small interfering RNAs (siRNAs)

siRNA targets were identified using the siDESIGN Center (Dharmacon) and purchased from Ambion (Applied Biosystems). Coding domains of fgf8/17/18 were subcloned into pCS2+, and capped mRNAs were made with the mMessage Machine Kit (Ambion). siRNAs or mRNAs were injected as described by Lowe et al. (Lowe et al., 2006). fgf8/17/18 siRNAa had the sense sequence 5'-AAAAAGCGGUACAAUUUAUGA-3', and siRNA-b had the sense sequence 5'-AATGGAGATATTTACGCTAGA-3'. FGFR-B siRNA-a had the 5'sense sequence CUAUACCAAUGAAACCAUATT-3' and FGFRB-siRNA-b had the sense sequence 5'-GGAUUACCGAAAAACGUGATT-3'. siRNA was injected to a final dose of 100 pM, and mRNA was injected at ~50 pg per embryo.

ESTs and in situ hybridization

snail and *mLim* cDNAs were generous gifts of John Gerhart (University of California, Berkeley, CA, USA). tdTomato cDNA was a gift of the Roger Tsien laboratory (University of California, San Diego, CA, USA). *In situ* hybridizations were performed as described (Lowe et al., 2004; Pani et al., 2012). GenBank IDs are supplied in supplementary material Table S1.

RT-PCR

Embryos were injected with either an siRNA targeting *fgf8/17/18*, or with a scrambled *fgf8/17/18* control. Twenty embryos of each treatment were flash frozen at the postgastrula stage. Total RNA was isolated with the Ambion RNaqueous Kit (Applied Biosystems), and cDNA was made using Invitrogen Superscript III (Invitrogen) following the manufacturer's instructions. Real time PCR was performed on a MyiQ Thermocycler (BIO-RAD), with the *fgf8/17/18* forward primer TGCCCATCGGTGCTACA, with the *fgf8/17/18* reverse primer GCCGTCTCTGCCAAAACTGA, and *fgfr-b* forward primer AACGCCATATCCATCAGTTCCCGT and *fgfr-b* reverse primer AAAGGTCGGCCTGAGTTTCGGTAA. Data were analyzed in Microsoft Excel.

RESULTS

Mesoderm is specified during gastrulation

Mesoderm arises as enterocoelic evaginations from the archenteron in each of the three body regions: the proboscis, collar and trunk (Bateson, 1884) (Fig. 1, top row). To determine when mesoderm is specified in *S. kowalevskii*, we examined the expression patterns of hemichordate orthologs of genes with conserved roles in bilaterian mesoderm and endomesoderm formation (see supplementary material Fig. S1 for gene trees).

The expression patterns of four transcription factors associated with early mesoderm development in other bilaterian phyla suggest that mesoderm is specified prior to the morphological segregation of mesoderm and endoderm. We examined mesoPrx (mPrx), a homolog of prx1 and prx2 (Cserjesi et al., 1992; de Jong and Meijlink, 1993; Leussink et al., 1995; Norris et al., 2000; Jones et al., 2001; Doufexi and Mina, 2008); mesp, a paralog to the vertebrate mesp and mesogenin (Saga et al., 1996; Saga et al., 1997; Sawada et al., 2000; Satou et al., 2004; Imai et al., 2006; Saga and Takahashi, 2008); six1 (Kozmik et al., 2007; Beaster-Jones et al., 2008; Gillis et al., 2012); and snail (Hammerschmidt and Nüsslein-Volhard, 1993; Erives et al., 1998; Fujiwara et al., 1998; Langeland et al., 1998; Cano et al., 2000; Wu and McClay, 2007; Rahimi et al., 2009). We did not detect expression of any of these genes in blastula-stage embryos (Fig. 1A,G,M,S). We first detected expression during gastrulation in anterior endomesoderm, in a site that later separates to become the proboscis mesoderm (Fig. 1B,H,N,T). Additional expression domains later appear in the presumptive trunk mesoderm and collar mesoderm (Fig. 1C,D,I,J,O,P,U,V). These early sites of expression coincide with sites of mesoderm structural gene expression (see below), suggesting that the expression of mPrx, mesp, six1 and snail are good markers of early mesoderm specification.

Expression patterns of mesoderm structural genes corroborate this view of mesoderm specification (Fig. 1Y-JJ). We examined expression of *myosin light chain alkali 2 (mlca2)* (Holland et al., 1995; Thézé et al., 1995; Thiébaud et al., 2001), an ortholog of other myosin alkali light chains, and *muscle Lim (mLim)* (Arber et al., 1994; Stronach et al., 1996; Stronach et al., 1999; Martindale et al., 2004). These genes are expressed in a pattern that closely matches the spatial pattern of transcription factor expression. However, the timing of structural gene expression is slightly delayed relative to the expression of transcription factors, consistent with structural genes acting downstream. *mLim* and *mlca2* expression persists in



Fig. 1. Enterocoely of mesoderm in *S. kowalevskii*, and expression of mesodermal and endodermal

genes. (A-VV) Expression of mPrx (A-F), mesp (G-L), six1 (M-R), snail (S-X), mLim (muscle Lim protein) (Y-DD), mlca2 (EE-JJ), zic (KK-PP) and foxA (QQ-VV). Each column shows progressively later stages (from left to right): late blastula, midgastrula, early postgastrula, enterocoely, neurula and juvenile, as indicated by the diagrams of embryological development at the top. All embryos are cleared and shown as optical sections with anterior/animal to the upper left. In diagrams, mesoderm is red, ectoderm blue, endoderm yellow, and prospective endomesoderm is mixed yellow and red. A, anterior; An, animal pole; D, dorsal; P, posterior; V, ventral; Veg, vegetal pole. Scale bar: 100 µm.

mesoderm after enterocoely (Fig. 1L), indicating that these genes are excellent markers of late mesoderm.

Two other genes, though not expressed exclusively in mesoderm at early stages, are useful markers. *Zic*, a transcription factor with diverse developmental roles (Layden et al., 2010) is expressed in early mesoderm, in the vegetal plate and in anterior ectoderm (Fig. 1KK-PP). *foxA* is expressed in the vegetal plate (prospective endoderm and mesoderm) prior to gastrulation (Fig. 1QQ), similar to its expression in sea urchins (Harada et al., 1996; Oliveri et al., 2006) and frogs (Suri et al., 2004). During gastrulation, as endomesoderm invaginates to become the archenteron, *foxA* is maintained in endoderm, but

downregulated in mesoderm (Fig. 1RR-VV; supplementary material Fig. S3B). It is not clear whether the vegetal plate cells marked by early *foxA* expression are endomesodermal precursors to both endoderm and mesoderm, or whether they are endodermal by default, but for the purposes of discussion we refer here to *foxA*-expressing cells from late blastula through early post-gastrula stages as endomesoderm. Once mesoderm markers are expressed, we describe internal *foxA*-positive cells as endoderm. The expression patterns of early transcription factors, structural genes and *foxA* suggest that mesoderm forms after vegetal archenteron endomesoderm contacts overlying ectoderm.



Fig. 2. Anterior ectoderm induces mesodermal *snail* expression in archenteron endomesoderm.

(A) Diagram of lateral view of an uncut embryo at flatplate gastrula stage of S. kowalevskii. (B) snail expression at mid-gastrula stage. (C) Method of making animal ectodermal and vegetal endomesodermal explants by dissection of a flat-plate gastrula embryo. (D) snail expression in a vegetal explant at mid-gastrula stage. (E) Method of making an animal-vegetal conjugate. The concave vegetal piece has begun archenteron formation, and ectoderm is placed on the blastocoel-facing side of the vegetal piece. (F) snail expression in an animal-vegetal conjugate. (G) Method of making a vegetal-vegetal conjugate. (H) snail expression in a vegetal-vegetal conjugate. Right-hand column shows schematics of the fate of the embryo shown to its left. All images show optical sections of cleared embryos. Anterior is to the top left. Mesoderm is red, ectoderm blue, endoderm yellow, and endomesoderm is mixed yellow and red. Red lines indicate dissection. An, animal pole; Veg, vegetal pole.

Ectoderm induces mesoderm

To test the hypothesis that hemichordate mesoderm requires ectodermal contact, we carried out surgical experiments on gastrulating embryos. When embryos begin gastrulation, their vegetal plate flattens, making the animal-vegetal axis obvious (Fig. 2A). Embryos at that stage were bisected into animal and vegetal explants (Fig. 2C). Blastomere isolation experiments (Colwin and Colwin, 1950), studies of vegetal β-catenin signaling (Darras et al., 2011) and gene expression (Fig. 1) indicate that animal explants are composed entirely of ectoderm, whereas the vegetal explants contain both vegetal plate endomesoderm and some posterior ectoderm. The vegetal plate normally invaginates to form the entire archenteron, and after surgery vegetal explants go through apparently normal cell movements to make an archenteron (Fig. 2D). In uncut embryos, the mesoderm marker *snail* is strongly expressed in anterior endomesoderm by mid-gastrula stage, following contact with anterior ectoderm (Fig. 2B). However, vegetal explants incubated to mid-gastrula stage lack snail expression (Fig. 2D). These data suggest that mesoderm does not form autonomously, but instead requires interaction with ectoderm.

To test whether ectoderm is capable of inducing mesoderm, we grafted small pieces of ectoderm cut from animal explants onto vegetal explants of equivalent stage, making animal/vegetal conjugates that were incubated until mid-gastrula stage (Fig. 2E). Ectoderm from the animal pole induced snail expression in the vegetal tissue directly underlying it (Fig. 2F). Precise placement of animal tissue varied, but *snail* expression was always adjacent to the animal tissue graft (supplementary material Fig. S3C), suggesting that ectoderm induces endomesoderm to become mesoderm. To test whether mesoderm can be induced by contact with any embryonic tissue, we made vegetal-vegetal conjugates (Fig. 2G) and examined them for mesodermal expression. However, vegetal endomesoderm could not induce snail expression (Fig. 2H). These data are consistent with the model that ectoderm provides a signal(s) to the underlying endomesoderm to induce mesoderm.

Expression of *fgf8/17/18* and *fgfr-B* are consistent with roles in mesoderm formation

To examine the possible roles of FGF signaling in hemichordate mesoderm formation, we investigated the expression of FGF ligands and FGF receptors. We isolated fgf8/17/18 (Pani et al., 2012), an ortholog of the FGFD family, which is implicated in mesoderm development in several groups (see Discussion). We identified two splice forms (fgf8/17/18.1 and fgf8/17/18.2) that differ only in the predicted N-terminal signal peptide, but are not orthologs of vertebrate fgf8 splice variants (Fletcher et al., 2006) (supplementary material Fig. S2B). Expression of fgf8/17/18 was examined by in situ hybridization using a probe for fgf8/17/18.1, which hybridizes to both splice forms. Expression is detected at blastula stage (Fig. 3A; supplementary material Fig. S3A), and during gastrulation becomes increasingly restricted to the anterior ectoderm, the region that is contacted by the anterior tip of the archenteron (Fig. 3B). Following gastrulation, additional expression domains are detected at intermediate positions along the anterior-posterior (A/P) axis, in the collar region (Fig. 3C,D). Here, fgf8/17/18 is expressed in two slim, lateral, ectodermal bands immediately overlying sites of mesoderm specification in the collar. Further posterior, fg/8/17/18 is expressed in a weak circumferential ring overlying the site of the trunk mesoderm (Fig. 3D,E). Thus, the expression of fgf8/17/18 in the ectoderm is associated with the induction of all three regions of mesoderm in the archenteron.

S. kowalevskii has two FGF receptors, FGFR-A and FGFR-B, which arose from a hemichordate-specific duplication (Rebscher et al., 2009) (Fig. 3F-J). *fgfr-B* is expressed in endomesoderm of the archenteron at early gastrula stage and is later upregulated in nascent mesoderm. It is also expressed in ectoderm beginning at late gastrula (not shown) and persisting into later stages (Fig. 3H-J). Expression of *fgfr-B* is both temporally and spatially coincident with upregulation of mesoderm specification markers. Thus, the expression of both *fgf8/17/18* and *fgfr-B* are consistent with the model that FGF8/17/18 is secreted from ectoderm to induce mesoderm in underlying endomesoderm (Fig. 3K-O).



Fig. 3. Expression of FGF signaling components in relation to endomesodermal and mesodermal gene expression. (A-J) Expression of *fgf8/17/18* (A-E) and *fgfr-B* (F-J) during early stages of *S. kowalevskii* development. The animal/anterior pole is to the upper left. All images are dorsal views of median optical sections of cleared embryos, except for D, which is a lateral surface view of an uncleared embryo. **(K-O)** Model of hypothetical interactions during mesoderm formation. White arrows indicate direction of FGF signaling from ectoderm to archenteron endomesoderm. Mesoderm is red, ectoderm blue, endoderm yellow, and endomesoderm is mixed yellow and red.

Endomesodermal *fgfr-B* is necessary for mesoderm specification

To test whether *fgfr*-B is necessary for mesoderm specification, we injected zygotes with custom-designed siRNAs. Expression of fgfrb is reduced following siRNA injection (supplementary material Fig. S4A; ~40% reduction relative to controls). Expression of the mesodermal specification markers *snail* and *mLim* is strongly reduced at early gastrula stages (compare Fig. 4A,F with 4C,H), suggesting that *fgfr-B* is necessary for mesoderm specification. Mesodermal zic expression is also reduced, but expression in anterior ectoderm is unaffected (Fig. 4B,G), indicating that ectodermal expression is not FGF dependent. At later juvenile stages, the differentiated mesoderm marker *mLim* is strongly reduced (Fig. 4E,J), suggesting a failure of muscle formation. Furthermore, the endodermal epithelium extends abnormally far into the proboscis and contacts the anterior-most ectoderm (Fig. 4E,J, arrow), suggesting that anterior endomesoderm differentiates into endoderm in the absence of FGF signaling. A second siRNA for *fgfr-B* gave a consistent but milder phenotype, supporting the specificity of the knockdown (supplementary material Fig. S3D). Similarly, treating embryos with 20 μ M of the FGFR inhibitor SU5402, (Mohammadi et al., 1997) or 8 µM of the MEK inhibitor U0126 (Favata et al., 1998) beginning at late blastula stage either completely inhibits or severely reduces mesoderm (supplementary material Fig. S5), indicating that FGFR and MAPK signaling are necessary for mesoderm specification during gastrulation. Treating embryos at progressively later stages suggests that FGFR and MAPK signaling are necessary early during proboscis mesoderm specification but are dispensable at later stages (supplementary material Fig. S6), but we have not assayed the more posterior mesoderm of the collar and trunk. These phenotypes are consistent with the model that reception of FGF signaling is necessary for mesoderm specification.

fgfr-B is expressed in endomesoderm during early gastrulation, but is also detectable at lower levels in ectoderm (not shown). Therefore, FGF signaling might act directly on presumptive mesoderm, indirectly by secondary signals from the ectoderm, or both. To test for direct effects on mesoderm induction, we bisected gastrulating embryos injected with *fgfr-B* siRNA into animal and vegetal pieces, and recombined each one with tissue from uninjected sibling embryos, resulting in animal-vegetal conjugates that contained *fgfr-B* siRNA in either animal or vegetal tissue. Loss of *fgfr-B* in ectoderm does not affect mesoderm (Fig. 4V), but loss of fgfr-B in the underlying endomesoderm leads to complete loss (4/8) or reduction (4/8) of *snail* expression (Fig. 4W). This suggests that mesoderm induction requires reception of FGF signals by endomesodermal FGFR-B.

Ectodermal *fgf8/17/18* is necessary for mesoderm specification

In order to test the hypothesis that FGF8/17/18 induces mesoderm specification, we injected embryos with either of two siRNAs (fgf8a, fgf8b), each targeting both *fgf8/17/18* splice variants. RT-PCR analyses of *fgf8/17/18* levels demonstrate that expression is strongly reduced following siRNA injection (supplementary material Fig. S5; ~77% reduction relative to controls). Embryos fixed during enterocoely show reduced expression of the mesodermal markers *zic*, *mLim* and *myosin* (Fig. 4K-M,P-R), and the endomesodermal/endodermal marker *foxA* continues to be expressed at the sites where mesoderm would normally form (Fig. 4N,S). At the juvenile stage, *mLim* expression is reduced, proboscis muscle is largely absent and the anterior endodermal epithelium expands into the proboscis (Fig. 40,T; supplementary material Fig. S3E,F). This is similar to the *fgfr-B* siRNA phenotype, and consistent with FGF8/17/18 inducing mesoderm.

To test whether fg/8/17/18 is required in ectoderm or endomesoderm for mesoderm specification, we made conjugates by combining animal and vegetal explants from siRNA-injected and uninjected embryos as already described for FGFR-B. Following knockdown of fgf8/17/18 in vegetal tissue, ectoderm explants induce snail expression normally (Fig. 4Y), but if fgf8/17/18 is knocked down in the animal explants and grafted onto normal endomesoderm, mesoderm specification fails (Fig. 4X). This is consistent with the model that FGF8/17/18 secreted from ectoderm is required to specify mesoderm in underlying endomesoderm.

fgf8/17/18 is sufficient for mesoderm specification

To test whether *fgf8/17/18* is sufficient to induce mesoderm, we injected capped *fgf8/17/18* mRNA or a control *tdtomato* mRNA into zygotes. At early developmental stages, *fgf8/17/18* mRNA expanded mesodermal markers (*snail* and *zic*) (Fig. 5A,C,I,K) and reduced expression of the endoderm/endomesoderm marker *foxA* (Fig. 5B,J). In juveniles, mesoderm is expanded (*mLim*; Fig. 5D,L) and endoderm is significantly reduced (*foxA*; Fig. 5E,M), suggesting that *fgf8/17/18* can induce archenteron endomesoderm to become mesoderm. If FGF8/17/18 signals through FGFR-B, then mesoderm



Fig. 4. Ectodermal *fgf8/17/18* and endomesodermal *fgfr-B* are necessary for mesoderm specification.

(A-J) fqfr-B is necessary for mesoderm specification. Control S. kowalevskii embryos (A-E) show mesodermal (snail, zic, mLim) and endodermal (foxA) expression, altered in corresponding fafr-B siRNA-injected matching stage embryos (F-J). (K-T) fqf8/17/18 is necessary for mesoderm specification. Control embryos (K-O) show mesodermal and endodermal expression. Corresponding matching stage embryos (P-T) injected with faf8/17/18 siRNA show reduced mesoderm and expanded endoderm. (U-Y) Conjugates of animal ectoderm and vegetal archenteron endomesoderm pieces taken from siRNA-injected and control embryos, stained for snail expression. All are shown at late gastrula stage. (U) Uncut control. (V) Animal-vegetal (A/V) conjugate, with animal portion from an fqfr-B siRNAinjected embryo. (W) A/V conjugate, with vegetal portion from an fqfr-B siRNA-injected embryo. (X) A/V conjugate with animal tissue from an fgf8/17/18 siRNAinjected embryo. (Y) A/V conjugate, with vegetal tissue from an fgf8/17/18 siRNA-injected embryo. All are optical sections of cleared embryos, with anterior to upper left.

expansion should require fgfr-B expression. In embryos co-injected with fgf8/17/18 mRNA and fgfr-B siRNA, archenteron expression of the mesoderm marker zic is reduced (Fig. 5F,N) relative to its expression in embryos injected with fgf8/17/18 mRNA alone (Fig. 5K), suggesting that *fgfr-B* is necessary to transduce the inductive effect of the exogenous fgf8/17/18 mRNA. Furthermore, if FGF8/17/18 induces mesoderm directly, then it should have the capacity to do so in the absence of ectoderm. In order to test the sufficiency of fgf8/17/18, we cut vegetal explants from embryos injected with fgf8/17/18 mRNA. The resulting explants expressed zic throughout most of the endomesoderm (Fig. 50). By contrast, vegetal explants made from embryos injected with control tdtomato mRNA did not show any zic expression (Fig. 5G). Next, we tested whether overexpression of fgf8/17/18 in vegetal explants conveys mesodermal inductive properties. To this aim, we made vegetalvegetal conjugates between explants from uninjected embryos and fgf8/17/18 mRNA-injected embryos. Resulting conjugates show mesoderm induction in the half composed of the injected explant (3/3), and either partial (1/3) or complete (2/3) mesoderm induction in the half composed of the uninjected explant (Fig. 5P). By contrast, injection of tdtomato mRNA failed to induce zic expression in either explant (Fig. 5H). These data suggest that FGF8/17/18 signaling is sufficient to induce mesoderm from endomesoderm.

DISCUSSION

Hemichordate mesoderm is specified from endomesoderm

Expression analyses indicate that the mesodermal markers *snail*, *mLim*, *mlcal2*, *mesoprx*, *six1* and *mesp* are co-expressed in tissues clearly identifiable as mesoderm. Expression analyses also show that *foxA* is expressed first throughout the vegetal plate at early gastrula, and that its expression is lost in cells that initiate expression

of mesodermal markers. It remains to be experimentally determined whether this early *foxA*-positive domain represents endomesoderm or an endodermal default. The mesodermal expression arises in a characteristic pattern towards the end of gastrulation, first anteriorly in the proboscis mesoderm, then posteriorly in the trunk and collar mesoderm (Fig. 1). We do not detect expression of any gene at blastula stage. We cannot rule out the possibility that there is earlier expression of other genes, but based on these data it appears that hemichordate mesoderm is specified during gastrulation, later in development compared with chordates and sea urchins.

In S. kowalevskii, mesoderm arises as subsets of the vegetal, endomesodermal tissue that forms the archenteron. These tissues are visible at early gastrula stage as a thick vegetal plate that is marked by expression of *foxA* and *zic*. As mesoderm specification foxA is restricted to the remaining begins, endomesoderm/endoderm, whereas zic is first restricted to the proboscis mesoderm, but later is expressed in the trunk and collar mesoderm. The endomesoderm might be either endoderm by default, or a distinct precursor cell type that requires signaling to adopt either fate. In either model, these data imply that the vegetal endomesoderm has competence to form mesoderm or endoderm.

zic and mesoderm specification

In *S. kowalevskii, zic* is the only gene in our study expressed throughout the vegetal plate and then restricted to mesoderm at later stages. It is possible that vegetal plate expression of *zic* might be necessary for appropriate mesoderm specification. This possibility deserves special consideration, as *zic* is a homolog of the ascidian gene *macho-1* (Layden et al., 2010), which acts in ascidian cell-autonomous mesoderm specification (Nishida and Sawada, 2001; Sawada et al., 2005). However, *S. kowalevskii zic* is expressed throughout the vegetal plate, unlike ascidian *macho-1*. This means





that hemichordate *zic* is also expressed in precursors of all endoderm, indicating that *zic* expression in the vegetal plate cannot be sufficient for mesoderm specification, but the subsequent loss of *zic* expression in endoderm might be necessary for endoderm differentiation. Loss of *zic* expression following knockdown of *fgf8/17/18* (Fig. 4K-M,P-R) and expanded *zic* expression in response to *fgf8/17/18* misexpression (Fig. 5C,K,G,H,O,P) suggests that late *zic* expression is actively induced or maintained by ectodermal FGF signaling. We believe that the expression of *zic* in the vegetal plate probably represents a distinct role, perhaps in specification of endomesoderm, or patterning of the animal-vegetal axis. Analyses of *zic* loss of function and misexpression will be necessary to test this hypothesis.

Hemichordate FGF8/17/18 induces endomesoderm to become mesoderm

Three kinds of evidence presented here indicate that FGF signaling induces mesoderm in the hemichordate S. kowalevskii. First, fgf8/17/18 expression in the ectoderm overlies regions of the archenteron with upregulated expression of the FGF receptor gene fgfr-B (Fig. 3) and a battery of mesodermal markers (Fig. 1). Second, in loss-of-function experiments, knockdown of either fgf8/17/18 or fgfr-B greatly reduces or eliminates mesoderm formation, leaving endoderm formation intact or expanded (Fig. 3). Surgical experiments indicate that mesoderm can be induced in archenteron presumptive endomesoderm by signals released from attached pieces of ectoderm (Fig. 2) that express fgf8/17/18 (Fig. 3). Similar experiments that combine surgical grafting with knockdown of either ligand or receptor further refine the basic loss-of-function approach and indicate that *fgfr-B* is required in the presumptive mesoderm, and that fgf8/17/18 is required in the adjacent ectoderm (Fig. 4). Third, in gain-of-function sufficiency tests, fgf8/17/18 overexpression induces archenteron endomesoderm to become mesoderm, even in the absence of ectoderm (Fig. 5), and this mRNA gives endomesoderm the capacity to induce mesoderm in other explants (Fig. 5). These data provide strong evidence that FGF8/17/18 signals from the ectoderm to adjacent endomesoderm to induce mesoderm, and also imply that spatially regulated fgf8/17/18 expression in the ectoderm determines the location and amount of mesoderm induced from the archenteron.

FGF8/17/18 and deuterostome mesoderm specification

Our findings contribute to a growing body of evidence indicating that FGF8/17/18 signaling is necessary for mesoderm specification in many deuterostomes. FGF signaling is required for posterior mesoderm (somites, notochord) in Xenopus laevis and the zebrafish Danio rerio (Amaya et al., 1991; Draper et al., 2003; Fletcher et al., 2006; Fletcher and Harland, 2008), for induction of mesenchyme, notochord and some tail muscles in ascidians (Kim and Nishida, 1999; Kim et al., 2000; Darras and Nishida, 2001; Kim and Nishida, 2001; Imai et al., 2002; Miya and Nishida, 2003), and for anterior somites in amphioxus (Bertrand et al., 2011). fgf8/17/18 orthologs are crucial for these signaling events in frog (Fletcher et al., 2006), zebrafish (Draper et al., 2003) and ascidians (Yasuo and Hudson, 2007), but the FGF ligand acting in amphioxus is unknown. Echinoderms are the only deuterostome phylum without experimental data supporting a role of FGF in mesoderm specification. However, our study suggests that a more comprehensive experimental analysis of the FGF complement in echinoderms will be required before it can be conclusively ruled out as a regulator of early mesoderm fate in this group. We can now state that FGF8/17/18 signaling is also essential in mesoderm specification in a representative of another deuterostome phylum, the hemichordates. It will now be interesting to test further the requirement of FGF signaling more broadly in hemichordates by investigating its role during the development of enteropneust species characterized by indirect development and a distinct larval body plan, more similar to echinoderm early developmental strategies. Nevertheless, comparison of experimental data between deuterostome species suggests that there is a widespread requirement for FGF8/17/18 signaling in mesoderm specification.

Hemichordate FGF8/17/18 ligand signals from ectoderm to prospective endomesoderm

Our data suggest that FGF8/17/18 is produced in ectoderm and transfers patterning information from ectoderm to the underlying prospective endomesoderm. This is different from vertebrates, in which FGF signals required for mesoderm fate are instead produced within the mesoderm itself in response to signaling from endoderm. However, in both cases FGF signaling is received within the

endomesoderm, suggesting that this is a conserved feature of deuterostomes. The site of signal production might be more evolutionarily flexible than the site where signaling is received, and so it is possible that hemichordates lost mesoderm-specific FGF gene expression. Alternatively, chordates might have gained FGF expression in mesoderm. One informative outgroup, the dipteran *D. melanogaster*, requires FGF signaling for mesoderm migration, and, as in hemichordates, FGF ligands are produced in ectoderm (Stathopoulos et al., 2004; Kadam et al., 2009; McMahon et al., 2010; Tulin and Stathopoulos, 2010). It is possible that FGF signals to mesoderm originally signaled from ectoderm to endomesoderm, but shifted to a production site in the mesoderm during the evolution of early chordates. Testing this hypothesis will require examination of other deuterostome invertebrates and other protostome phyla.

Inductive signaling in deuterostome mesoderm specification

In vertebrates, several other signaling pathways have particularly important roles in mesoderm induction. Nodal signaling is generally required for vertebrate mesoderm (Kimelman, 2006). However, there is limited comparative support for a conserved role of this pathway outside of vertebrates. Nodal does not induce mesoderm in amphioxus (Onai et al., 2010) or sea urchins (Duboc et al., 2005).

Another major signaling pathway, Delta/Notch signaling, appears to have at least two distinct and relevant roles in deuterostome early endomesoderm development. There is a widespread requirement for Delta/Notch signaling in endomesoderm segregation in frog, zebrafish and sea urchins (Kikuchi et al., 2004; Contakos et al., 2005; Revinski et al., 2010; Sethi et al., 2012), but it generally seems to promote endoderm at the expense of mesoderm. In sea urchins, Delta/Notch signaling also plays a key role in mesoderm induction (Sherwood and McClay, 1999; Range et al., 2008; Materna and Davidson, 2012). This would appear to be more comparable to the role of hemichordate FGF signaling that we observe here, but the inductive role of Delta/Notch signaling has not been observed in other animals. It does not have this role in the closely related asteroid echinoderms (Hinman and Davidson, 2007) or in mouse and zebrafish (Sherwood and McClay, 1999; Shi et al., 2005; Range et al., 2008).

The general distribution of Nodal and Notch requirements is consistent with those pathways acquiring roles in mesoderm induction during the evolution of stem vertebrates and echinoderms, respectively. Examining these signaling pathways within hemichordates will help to test these hypotheses. In contrast to Nodal and Delta/Notch signaling, FGF signaling has phylogenetically broad support for a role in mesoderm specification. We propose that FGF8/17/18-mediated mesoderm specification was a primitive trait of deuterostomes and that FGF signaling might have acted non-autonomously to induce mesoderm, as it does in *S. kowalevskii*.

We propose two broad evolutionary models of the roles of FGF8/17/18 signaling in deuterostome mesoderm specification. First, FGF signaling might have been required for formation of all or most mesoderm. This scenario implies that other signaling pathways, including Nodal (Kimelman, 2006) in vertebrates and Notch signaling in echinoderms (Sherwood and McClay, 1999; Range et al., 2008), gained additional prominence during later evolution, but it is also consistent with multiple signals being required for mesoderm specification. It would also imply lineage-specific secondary loss of FGF-dependent induction in subpopulations of mesoderm in different chordate groups, and potentially complete loss in echinoderms. A second possibility is that FGF signaling was ancestrally associated with induction of a

specific subset of mesoderm (either a specific tissue or axial level), but gained additional importance during hemichordate evolution.

We consider the first model, that FGF8/17/18 signaling was required for all mesoderm in early deuterostomes, to be the best fit for the available comparative developmental data, for several reasons. First, FGF signaling acts broadly in mesoderm induction in both hemichordates and ascidians, where it is required in multiple mesodermal tissues at all axial levels (Lemaire et al., 2008). Second, in chordates, in which FGF signaling is required in only a subset of mesoderm, there is little commonality in the precise location of the requirement: FGF signaling is required for posterior mesoderm in frogs (Kumano and Smith, 2000; Kumano and Smith, 2002; Kimelman, 2006; Bertrand et al., 2011) and zebrafish (Draper et al., 2003), but is required for anterior somites in amphioxus (Bertrand et al., 2011). This condition seems more likely to result from a selective loss of FGF function than from multiple independent gains of function, suggesting that FGF signaling might have had ancestral roles in mesoderm specification.

FGF signaling and the origins of mesoderm

Mesoderm evolved prior to the divergence of deuterostomes and protostomes, but the changes in gene regulation that led to the innovation of a distinct mesodermal germ layer remain unknown. Our data suggest that FGF signaling acted to induce mesoderm in early deuterostomes, but determining whether this was an ancestral bilaterian trait requires additional data from protostomes. Currently, studies from ecdysozoan model systems do not support this model; FGFs act in protostome mesoderm patterning and migration, but we are not aware of any evidence of a role in mesoderm induction. Data from the other main protostome lineage, the lophotrochozoans, will be important for testing this hypothesis. Currently there are no experimental analyses of lophotrochozoan FGF signaling, but experiments from gastropod molluscs have implicated MAPK signaling in formation of larval mesoderm derived from the 3D lineage (Lambert and Nagy, 2001; Lambert and Nagy, 2003; Koop et al., 2007), and FGFs are good candidates for this signaling event.

Acknowledgements

We would like to thank the staff of the Marine Biological Laboratory (Woods Hole, MA, USA), particularly Martha Peterson and Herb Luther; Zeiss and Nikon for their generous microscopy support; and the Waquoit Bay Estuarine Research Reserve. We thank Bob Freeman for assistance with bioinformatics; John Gerhart for helpful comments on the manuscript; Ariel Pani for generating the fluorescent *fgf8 in situ* hybridizations; Laura Kerosuo and Saku Jayasena for assistance with RT-PCR; and Sebastien Darras, Laurinda Jaffe, Marc Kirschner, Andrew Gillis, the Lowe lab and the Casey lab for their support during the field season.

Funding

This work was supported by a National Science Foundation (NSF) award [0818679 to C.J.L.]. S.A.G. was supported by a National Institutes of Health (NIH) training grant [T32GM07197] and an NSF predoctoral fellowship [DGE0638477]. Deposited in PMC for release after 12 months.

Competing interests statement

The authors declare no competing financial interests.

Supplementary material

Supplementary material available online at http://dev.biologists.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1242/dev.083790/-/DC1

References

Amaya, E., Musci, T. J. and Kirschner, M. W. (1991). Expression of a dominant negative mutant of the FGF receptor disrupts mesoderm formation in *Xenopus* embryos. *Cell* 66, 257-270.

Arber, S., Halder, G. and Caroni, P. (1994). Muscle LIM protein, a novel essential regulator of myogenesis, promotes myogenic differentiation. *Cell* 79, 221-231.

Bateson, W. (1884). The early stages in the development of Balanoglossus (sp. Incert.). *Q. J. Microsc. Sci.* 25, 208-236.

Bateson, W. (1886). Continued account of the later stages in the development of Balanoglossus kowalevskii, and of the morphology of the enteropneusta. *Q. J. Microsc. Sci.* **26**, 511-534.

Beaster-Jones, L., Kaltenbach, S. L., Koop, D., Yuan, S., Chastain, R. and Holland, L. Z. (2008). Expression of somite segmentation genes in amphioxus: a clock without a wavefront? *Dev. Genes Evol.* 218, 599-611.

Bertrand, S., Camasses, A., Somorjai, I., Belgacem, M. R., Chabrol, O., Escande, M. L., Pontarotti, P. and Escriva, H. (2011). Amphioxus FGF signaling predicts the acquisition of vertebrate morphological traits. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 108, 9160-9165.

Bourlat, S. J., Juliusdottir, T., Lowe, C. J., Freeman, R., Aronowicz, J., Kirschner, M., Lander, E. S., Thorndyke, M., Nakano, H., Kohn, A. B. et al. (2006). Deuterostome phylogeny reveals monophyletic chordates and the new phylum Xenoturbellida. *Nature* **444**, 85-88.

Brown, F. D., Prendergast, A. and Swalla, B. J. (2008). Man is but a worm: chordate origins. *Genesis* 46, 605-613.

Burdine, R. D., Branda, C. S. and Stern, M. J. (1998). EGL-17(FGF) expression coordinates the attraction of the migrating sex myoblasts with vulval induction in C. elegans. *Development* **125**, 1083-1093.

Cameron, C. B., Garey, J. R. and Swalla, B. J. (2000). Evolution of the chordate body plan: new insights from phylogenetic analyses of deuterostome phyla. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 97, 4469-4474.

Cannon, J. T., Rychel, A. L., Eccleston, H., Halanych, K. M. and Swalla, B. J. (2009). Molecular phylogeny of hemichordata, with updated status of deep-sea enteropneusts. *Mol. Phylogenet. Evol.* **52**, 17-24.

Cano, A., Pérez-Moreno, M. A., Rodrigo, I., Locascio, A., Blanco, M. J., del Barrio, M. G., Portillo, F. and Nieto, M. A. (2000). The transcription factor snail controls epithelial-mesenchymal transitions by repressing E-cadherin expression. *Nat. Cell Biol.* 2, 76-83.

Casey, E. S., O'Reilly, M. A., Conlon, F. L. and Smith, J. C. (1998). The T-box transcription factor Brachyury regulates expression of eFGF through binding to a non-palindromic response element. *Development* **125**, 3887-3894.

Ciruna, B. and Rossant, J. (2001). FGF signaling regulates mesoderm cell fate specification and morphogenetic movement at the primitive streak. *Dev. Cell* 1, 37-49.

Colwin, A. L. and Colwin, L. H. (1950). The developmental capacities of separated early blastomeres of an enteropneust, Saccoglossus kowalevskii. J. Exp. Zool. 115, 263-295.

Colwin, A. L. and Colwin, L. H. (1953). The normal embryology of Saccoglossus kowalevskii. *J. Morphol.* **92**, 401-453.

Conklin, E. G. (1932). The embryology of Amphioxus. J. Morphol. 54, 69-151.

Conlon, F. L., Sedgwick, S. G., Weston, K. M. and Smith, J. C. (1996). Inhibition of Xbra transcription activation causes defects in mesodermal patterning and reveals autoregulation of Xbra in dorsal mesoderm. *Development* **122**, 2427-2435.

Contakos, S. P., Gaydos, C. M., Pfeil, E. C. and McLaughlin, K. A. (2005). Subdividing the embryo: a role for Notch signaling during germ layer patterning in Xenopus laevis. *Dev. Biol.* **288**, 294-307.

Cserjesi, P., Lilly, B., Bryson, L., Wang, Y., Sassoon, D. A. and Olson, E. N. (1992). MHox: a mesodermally restricted homeodomain protein that binds an essential site in the muscle creatine kinase enhancer. *Development* **115**. 1087-1101.

Darras, S. and Nishida, H. (2001). The BMP signaling pathway is required together with the FGF pathway for notochord induction in the ascidian embryo. *Development* 128, 2629-2638.

Darras, S., Gerhart, J., Terasaki, M., Kirschner, M. and Lowe, C. J. (2011). βcatenin specifies the endomesoderm and defines the posterior organizer of the hemichordate Saccoglossus kowalevskii. *Development* **138**, 959-970.

de Jong, R. and Meijlink, F. (1993). The homeobox gene S8: mesoderm-specific expression in presomite embryos and in cells cultured in vitro and modulation in differentiating pluripotent cells. *Dev. Biol.* **157**, 133-146.

DeVore, D. L., Horvitz, H. R. and Stern, M. J. (1995). An FGF receptor signaling pathway is required for the normal cell migrations of the sex myoblasts in C. elegans hermaphrodites. *Cell* 83, 611-620.

Doufexi, A. E. and Mina, M. (2008). Signaling pathways regulating the expression of Prx1 and Prx2 in the chick mandibular mesenchyme. *Dev. Dyn.* 237, 3115-3127.

Draper, B. W., Stock, D. W. and Kimmel, C. B. (2003). Zebrafish fgf24 functions with fgf8 to promote posterior mesodermal development. *Development* **130**, 4639-4654.

Duboc, V., Röttinger, E., Lapraz, F., Besnardeau, L. and Lepage, T. (2005). Leftright asymmetry in the sea urchin embryo is regulated by nodal signaling on the right side. *Dev. Cell* **9**, 147-158.

Dunn, C. W., Hejnol, A., Matus, D. Q., Pang, K., Browne, W. E., Smith, S. A., Seaver, E., Rouse, G. W., Obst, M., Edgecombe, G. D. et al. (2008). Broad phylogenomic sampling improves resolution of the animal tree of life. *Nature* 452, 745-749.

Erives, A., Corbo, J. C. and Levine, M. (1998). Lineage-specific regulation of the Ciona snail gene in the embryonic mesoderm and neuroectoderm. *Dev. Biol.* 194, 213-225. Favata, M. F., Horiuchi, K. Y., Manos, E. J., Daulerio, A. J., Stradley, D. A., Feeser, W. S., Van Dyk, D. E., Pitts, W. J., Earl, R. A., Hobbs, F. et al. (1998). Identification of a novel inhibitor of mitogen-activated protein kinase kinase. J. Biol. Chem. 273, 18623-18632.

Fletcher, R. B. and Harland, R. M. (2008). The role of FGF signaling in the establishment and maintenance of mesodermal gene expression in *Xenopus*. *Dev. Dyn.* **237**, 1243-1254.

Fletcher, R. B., Baker, J. C. and Harland, R. M. (2006). FGF8 spliceforms mediate early mesoderm and posterior neural tissue formation in *Xenopus. Development* 133, 1703-1714.

Fujiwara, S., Corbo, J. C. and Levine, M. (1998). The snail repressor establishes a muscle/notochord boundary in the Ciona embryo. *Development* 125, 2511-2520.

Gerhart, J., Lowe, C. and Kirschner, M. (2005). Hemichordates and the origin of chordates. *Curr. Opin. Genet. Dev.* **15**, 461-467.

Gillis, J. A., Fritzenwanker, J. H. and Lowe, C. J. (2012). A stem-deuterostome origin of the vertebrate pharyngeal transcriptional network. Proc. R. Soc. Biol. 279, 237-246.

Good, K., Ciosk, R., Nance, J., Neves, A., Hill, R. J. and Priess, J. R. (2004). The Tbox transcription factors TBX-37 and TBX-38 link GLP-1/Notch signaling to mesoderm induction in C. elegans embryos. *Development* 131, 1967-1978.

Goodman, S. J., Branda, C. S., Robinson, M. K., Burdine, R. D. and Stern, M. J. (2003). Alternative splicing affecting a novel domain in the C. elegans EGL-15 FGF receptor confers functional specificity. *Development* **130**, 3757-3766.

Guindon, S. and Gascuel, O. (2003). A simple, fast, and accurate algorithm to estimate large phylogenies by maximum likelihood. Syst. Biol. 52, 696-704.

Hammerschmidt, M. and Nüsslein-Volhard, C. (1993). The expression of a zebrafish gene homologous to Drosophila snail suggests a conserved function in invertebrate and vertebrate gastrulation. *Development* **119**, 1107-1118.

Harada, Y., Akasaka, K., Shimada, H., Peterson, K. J., Davidson, E. H. and Satoh, N. (1996). Spatial expression of a forkhead homologue in the sea urchin embryo. *Mech. Dev.* **60**, 163-173.

Hinman, V. F. and Davidson, E. H. (2007). Evolutionary plasticity of developmental gene regulatory network architecture. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 104, 19404-19409.

Holland, L. Z., Pace, D. A., Blink, M. L., Kene, M. and Holland, N. D. (1995). Sequence and expression of amphioxus alkali myosin light chain (AmphiMLCalk) throughout development: implications for vertebrate myogenesis. *Dev. Biol.* 171, 665-676.

Imai, K. S., Satoh, N. and Satou, Y. (2002). Early embryonic expression of FGF4/6/9 gene and its role in the induction of mesenchyme and notochord in Ciona savignyi embryos. *Development* **129**, 1729-1738.

Imai, K. S., Levine, M., Satoh, N. and Satou, Y. (2006). Regulatory blueprint for a chordate embryo. *Science* 312, 1183-1187.

Ip, Y. T., Park, R. E., Kosman, D., Yazdanbakhsh, K. and Levine, M. (1992). dorsaltwist interactions establish snail expression in the presumptive mesoderm of the Drosophila embryo. *Genes Dev.* 6, 1518-1530.

Jiang, J., Kosman, D., Ip, Y. T. and Levine, M. (1991). The dorsal morphogen gradient regulates the mesoderm determinant twist in early Drosophila embryos. *Genes Dev.* 5, 1881-1891.

Jones, F. S., Meech, R., Edelman, D. B., Oakey, R. J. and Jones, P. L. (2001). Prx1 controls vascular smooth muscle cell proliferation and tenascin-C expression and is upregulated with Prx2 in pulmonary vascular disease. *Circ. Res.* 89, 131-138

Kadam, S., McMahon, A., Tzou, P. and Stathopoulos, A. (2009). FGF ligands in Drosophila have distinct activities required to support cell migration and differentiation. *Development* **136**, 739-747.

Kikuchi, Y., Verkade, H., Reiter, J. F., Kim, C. H., Chitnis, A. B., Kuroiwa, A. and Stainier, D. Y. (2004). Notch signaling can regulate endoderm formation in zebrafish. *Dev. Dyn.* **229**, 756-762.

Kim, G. J. and Nishida, H. (1999). Suppression of muscle fate by cellular interaction is required for mesenchyme formation during ascidian embryogenesis. *Dev. Biol.* **214**, 9-22.

Kim, G. J. and Nishida, H. (2001). Role of the FGF and MEK signaling pathway in the ascidian embryo. *Dev. Growth Differ.* **43**, 521-533.

Kim, G. J., Yamada, A. and Nishida, H. (2000). An FGF signal from endoderm and localized factors in the posterior-vegetal egg cytoplasm pattern the mesodermal tissues in the ascidian embryo. *Development* 127, 2853-2862.

Kimelman, D. (2006). Mesoderm induction: from caps to chips. Nat. Rev. Genet. 7, 360-372.

Koop, D., Richards, G. S., Wanninger, A., Gunter, H. M. and Degnan, B. M. (2007). The role of MAPK signaling in patterning and establishing axial symmetry in the gastropod Haliotis asinina. *Dev. Biol.* **311**, 200-212.

Kozmik, Z., Holland, N. D., Kreslova, J., Oliveri, D., Schubert, M., Jonasova, K., Holland, L. Z., Pestarino, M., Benes, V. and Candiani, S. (2007). Pax-Six-Eya-Dach network during amphioxus development: conservation in vitro but context specificity in vivo. *Dev. Biol.* **306**, 143-159.

Kumano, G. and Smith, W. C. (2000). FGF signaling restricts the primary blood islands to ventral mesoderm. *Dev. Biol.* 228, 304-314.

Kumano, G. and Smith, W. C. (2002). The nodal target gene Xmenf is a component of an FGF-independent pathway of ventral mesoderm induction in *Xenopus. Mech. Dev.* **118**, 45-56.

Lambert, J. D. and Nagy, L. M. (2001). MAPK signaling by the D quadrant embryonic organizer of the mollusc Ilyanassa obsoleta. *Development* **128**, 45-56.
Lambert, J. D. and Nagy, L. M. (2003). The MAPK cascade in equally cleaving

spiralian embryos. Dev. Biol. 263, 231-241.
Langeland, J. A., Tomsa, J. M., Jackman, W. R., Jr and Kimmel, C. B. (1998). An amphioxus snail gene: expression in paraxial mesoderm and neural plate suggests a conserved role in patterning the chordate embryo. Dev. Genes Evol. 208. 569-577.

Layden, M. J., Meyer, N. P., Pang, K., Seaver, E. C. and Martindale, M. Q. (2010). Expression and phylogenetic analysis of the zic gene family in the evolution and development of metazoans. *EvoDevo* 1, 12.

Lemaire, P., Smith, W. C. and Nishida, H. (2008). Ascidians and the plasticity of the chordate developmental program. *Curr. Biol.* **18**, R620-R631.

Leussink, B., Brouwer, A., el Khattabi, M., Poelmann, R. E., Gittenberger-de Groot, A. C. and Meijlink, F. (1995). Expression patterns of the paired-related homeobox genes MHox/Prx1 and S8/Prx2 suggest roles in development of the heart and the forebrain. *Mech. Dev.* **52**, 51-64.

Lo, T. W., Branda, C. S., Huang, P., Sasson, I. E., Goodman, S. J. and Stern, M. J. (2008). Different isoforms of the C. elegans FGF receptor are required for attraction and repulsion of the migrating sex myoblasts. *Dev. Biol.* **318**, 268-275.

Lowe, C. J., Wu, M., Salic, A., Evans, L., Lander, É., Stange-Thomann, N., Gruber, C. E., Gerhart, J. and Kirschner, M. (2003). Anteroposterior patterning in hemichordates and the origins of the chordate nervous system. *Cell* **113**, 853-865.

Lowe, C. J., Tagawa, K., Humphreys, T., Kirschner, M. and Gerhart, J. (2004). Hemichordate embryos: procurement, culture, and basic methods. *Methods Cell Biol.* 74, 171-194.

Lowe, C. J., Terasaki, M., Wu, M., Freeman, R. M., Jr, Runft, L., Kwan, K., Haigo, S., Aronowicz, J., Lander, E., Gruber, C. et al. (2006). Dorsoventral patterning in hemichordates: insights into early chordate evolution. *PLoS Biol.* **4**, e291.

Martindale, M. Q., Pang, K. and Finnerty, J. R. (2004). Investigating the origins of triploblasty: 'mesodermal' gene expression in a diploblastic animal, the sea anemone Nematostella vectensis (phylum, Cnidaria; class, Anthozoa). *Development* **131**, 2463-2474.

Materna, S. C. and Davidson, E. H. (2012). A comprehensive analysis of Delta signaling in pre-gastrular sea urchin embryos. *Dev. Biol.* **364**, 77-87.

McCoon, P. E., Angerer, R. C. and Angerer, L. M. (1996). SpFGFR, a new member of the fibroblast growth factor receptor family, is developmentally regulated during early sea urchin development. J. Biol. Chem. 271, 20119-20125.

McCoon, P. E., Blackstone, E., Angerer, R. C. and Angerer, L. M. (1998). Sea urchin FGFR muscle-specific expression: posttranscriptional regulation in embryos and adults. *Dev. Biol.* 200, 171-181.

McMahon, A., Reeves, G. T., Supatto, W. and Stathopoulos, A. (2010). Mesoderm migration in Drosophila is a multi-step process requiring FGF signaling and integrin activity. *Development* **137**, 2167-2175.

Miya, T. and Nishida, H. (2003). An Ets transcription factor, HrEts, is target of FGF signaling and involved in induction of notochord, mesenchyme, and brain in ascidian embryos. *Dev. Biol.* 261, 25-38.

Mohammadi, M., McMahon, G., Sun, L., Tang, C., Hirth, P., Yeh, B. K., Hubbard, S. R. and Schlessinger, J. (1997). Structures of the tyrosine kinase domain of fibroblast growth factor receptor in complex with inhibitors. *Science* **276**, 955-960.

Nishida, H. and Sawada, K. (2001). macho-1 encodes a localized mRNA in ascidian eggs that specifies muscle fate during embryogenesis. *Nature* **409**, 724-729.

Norris, R. A., Scott, K. K., Moore, C. S., Stetten, G., Brown, C. R., Jabs, E. W., Wulfsberg, E. A., Yu, J. and Kern, M. J. (2000). Human PRRX1 and PRRX2 genes: cloning, expression, genomic localization, and exclusion as disease genes for Nager syndrome. *Mamm. Genome* 11, 1000-1005.

Oliveri, P., Walton, K. D., Davidson, E. H. and McClay, D. R. (2006). Repression of mesodermal fate by foxa, a key endoderm regulator of the sea urchin embryo. *Development* **133**, 4173-4181.

Onai, T., Yu, J. K., Blitz, I. L., Cho, K. W. and Holland, L. Z. (2010). Opposing Nodal/Vg1 and BMP signals mediate axial patterning in embryos of the basal chordate amphioxus. *Dev. Biol.* **344**, 377-389.

Pani, A. M., Mullarkey, E. E., Aronowicz, J., Assimacopoulos, S., Grove, E. A. and Lowe, C. J. (2012). Ancient deuterostome origins of vertebrate brain signalling centres. *Nature* 483, 289-294.

Rahimi, R. A., Allmond, J. J., Wagner, H., McCauley, D. W. and Langeland, J. A. (2009). Lamprey snail highlights conserved and novel patterning roles in vertebrate embryos. *Dev. Genes Evol.* **219**, 31-36.

Range, R. C., Glenn, T. D., Miranda, E. and McClay, D. R. (2008). LvNumb works synergistically with Notch signaling to specify non-skeletal mesoderm cells in the sea urchin embryo. *Development* **135**, 2445-2454.

Rebscher, N., Deichmann, C., Sudhop, S., Fritzenwanker, J. H., Green, S. and Hassel, M. (2009). Conserved intron positions in FGFR genes reflect the modular structure of FGFR and reveal stepwise addition of domains to an already complex ancestral FGFR. *Dev. Genes Evol.* 219, 455-468. Remane, A. (1963). The enterocelic origin of the celom. In *The Lower Metazoa* (ed. E. Dougherty). Berkeley, CA: University of California Press.

Revinski, D. R., Paganelli, A. R., Carrasco, A. E. and López, S. L. (2010). Delta-Notch signaling is involved in the segregation of the three germ layers in *Xenopus laevis, Dev. Biol.* **339**, 477-492.

Ronquist, F., Teslenko, M., van der Mark, P., Ayres, D. L., Darling, A., Höhna, S., Larget, B., Liu, L., Suchard, M. A. and Huelsenbeck, J. P. (2012). MrBayes 3.2: efficient Bayesian phylogenetic inference and model choice across a large model space. *Syst. Biol.* **61**, 539-542.

Röttinger, E. and Lowe, C. J. (2012). Evolutionary crossroads in developmental biology: hemichordates. *Development* **139**, 2463-2475.

Röttinger, E., Saudemont, A., Duboc, V., Besnardeau, L., McClay, D. and Lepage, T. (2008). FGF signals guide migration of mesenchymal cells, control skeletal morphogenesis [corrected] and regulate gastrulation during sea urchin development. *Development* **135**, 353-365.

Saga, Y. and Takahashi, Y. (2008). Mesp-family genes are required for segmental patterning and segmental border formation. Adv. Exp. Med. Biol. 638, 113-123.

Saga, Y., Hata, N., Kobayashi, S., Magnuson, T., Seldin, M. F. and Taketo, M. M. (1996). MesP1: a novel basic helix-loop-helix protein expressed in the nascent mesodermal cells during mouse gastrulation. *Development* **122**, 2769-2778.

Saga, Y., Hata, N., Koseki, H. and Taketo, M. M. (1997). Mesp2: a novel mouse gene expressed in the presegmented mesoderm and essential for segmentation initiation. *Genes Dev.* 11, 1827-1839.

Satou, Y., Imai, K. S. and Satoh, N. (2004). The ascidian Mesp gene specifies heart precursor cells. *Development* 131, 2533-2541.

Sawada, A., Fritz, A., Jiang, Y. J., Yamamoto, A., Yamasu, K., Kuroiwa, A., Saga, Y. and Takeda, H. (2000). Zebrafish Mesp family genes, mesp-a and mesp-b are segmentally expressed in the presomitic mesoderm, and Mesp-b confers the anterior identity to the developing somites. *Development* **127**, 1691-1702.

Sawada, K., Fukushima, Y. and Nishida, H. (2005). Macho-1 functions as transcriptional activator for muscle formation in embryos of the ascidian Halocynthia roretzi. *Gene Expr. Patterns* **5**, 429-437.

Schulte-Merker, S. and Smith, J. C. (1995). Mesoderm formation in response to Brachyury requires FGF signalling. *Curr. Biol.* 5, 62-67.

Sethi, A. J., Wikramanayake, R. M., Angerer, R. C., Range, R. C. and Angerer, L. M. (2012). Sequential signaling crosstalk regulates endomesoderm segregation in sea urchin embryos. *Science* **335**, 590-593.

Sherwood, D. R. and McClay, D. R. (1999). LvNotch signaling mediates secondary mesenchyme specification in the sea urchin embryo. *Development* **126**, 1703-1713.

Shi, S., Stahl, M., Lu, L. and Stanley, P. (2005). Canonical Notch signaling is dispensable for early cell fate specifications in mammals. *Mol. Cell. Biol.* 25, 9503-9508.

Smith, J. C., Price, B. M., Green, J. B., Weigel, D. and Herrmann, B. G. (1991). Expression of a Xenopus homolog of Brachyury (T) is an immediate-early response to mesoderm induction. *Cell* **67**, 79-87.

Stathopoulos, A., Tam, B., Ronshaugen, M., Frasch, M. and Levine, M. (2004). pyramus and thisbe: FGF genes that pattern the mesoderm of Drosophila embryos. *Genes Dev.* 18, 687-699.

Stronach, B. E., Siegrist, S. E. and Beckerle, M. C. (1996). Two muscle-specific LIM proteins in Drosophila. J. Cell Biol. 134, 1179-1195.

Stronach, B. E., Renfranz, P. J., Lilly, B. and Beckerle, M. C. (1999). Muscle LIM proteins are associated with muscle sarcomeres and require dMEF2 for their expression during Drosophila myogenesis. *Mol. Biol. Cell* 10, 2329-2342.

Suri, C., Haremaki, T. and Weinstein, D. C. (2004). Inhibition of mesodermal fate by Xenopus HNF3beta/FoxA2. *Dev. Biol.* 265, 90-104.

Tamura, K., Dudley, J., Nei, M. and Kumar, S. (2007). MEGA4: Molecular Evolutionary Genetics Analysis (MEGA) software version 4.0. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* 24, 1596-1599.

Thézé, N., Hardy, S., Wilson, R., Allo, M. R., Mohun, T. and Thiebaud, P. (1995). The MLC1f/3f gene is an early marker of somitic muscle differentiation in Xenopus laevis embryo. *Dev. Biol.* **171**, 352-362.

Thiébaud, P., Rescan, P. Y., Barillot, W., Rallière, C. and Thézé, N. (2001). Developmental program expression of myosin alkali light chain and skeletal actin genes in the rainbow trout Oncorhynchus mykiss. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta* 1519, 139-142.

Tulin, S. and Stathopoulos, A. (2010). Extending the family table: Insights from beyond vertebrates into the regulation of embryonic development by FGFs. *Birth Defects Res. C Embryo Today* **90**, 214-227.

Turbeville, J. M., Schulz, J. R. and Raff, R. A. (1994). Deuterostome phylogeny and the sister group of the chordates: evidence from molecules and morphology. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* **11**, 648-655.

Valentine, J. W. (2004). On the Origin of Phyla. Chicago, IL, USA: University of Chicago Press.

Wu, S. Y. and McClay, D. R. (2007). The Snail repressor is required for PMC ingression in the sea urchin embryo. *Development* **134**, 1061-1070.

Yasuo, H. and Hudson, C. (2007). FGF8/17/18 functions together with FGF9/16/20 during formation of the notochord in Ciona embryos. *Dev. Biol.* **302**, 92-103.



Fig. S1. Phylogenetic trees confirm that hemichordate proteins are homologs of Bilaterian mesoderm genes. (A) Snail family genes. (B) Zic family, with Gli family as outgroup. (C) Prx. (D) mLim family. (E) Myosin light chain alkali family. (F) Mesp family with MyoD family as outgroup. All cladograms shown are derived from neighbor-joining analysis of protein sequence. Bootstraps out of 2000 replicates are shown above each node. Ame, *Ambystoma mexicanum*; Ami, *Acropora millepora*; Abe, *Branchiostoma belcheri*; Bfl, *Branchiostoma floridae*; Bla, *Branchiostoma lancoleatum*; Cel, *Caenorhabditis elegans*; Cin, *Ciona intestinalis*; Csa, *Ciona savignyi*; Cta, *Capitella teleta*; Dme, *Drosophila melanogaster*; Dre, *Danio rerio*; Gga, *Gallus gallus*; Has, *Homo sapiens*; Mmu, *Mus musculus*; Nve, *Nematostella vectensis*; Nvi, *Nasonia vitripenis*; Pva, *Podocoryne carnea*; Pma, *Petromyzon marinus*; Pvu, *Patella vulgata*; Sko, *Saccoglossus kowalevskii*; Spu, *Strongylocentrotus purpuratus*; Ttu, *Tubifex tubifex*; Xla, *Xenopus laevis*; Xtr, *Xenopus tropicalis*.

SkoFgf8.1	MSTMLPHRCYTLLLHVVLWCWQLQESIQLATSLDDQFEAYVDDPDHAIGNLPVIRKLQLYSRPFAHHVRIFGN	73
SkoFgf8.2	MNLSAKLLLHVVLWCWQLQESIQLATSLDDQFEAYVDDPDHAIGNLPVIRKLQLYSRPFAHHVRIFGN	69
XlaFgf8a	-MNYITSILGYLLLHLFVICLQADHVREQSLVTDQLSRRLIRTYQLYSRTSGKHVQILAN	59
XlaFgf8b	$-\texttt{MNYITSILGYLLLHLFVICLQA} \underline{\texttt{QVTVQSPP}-\texttt{N}-\texttt{FT}} \\ \texttt{PNYITSILGYLLLHLFVICLQA} \underline{\texttt{PVTVQSPP}-\texttt{N}-\texttt{FT}} \\ \texttt{PNYITSILGYLLLHLFVICLQA} \\ \texttt{PNYITSILGYLLLHLFVICLQA} \underline{\texttt{PVTVQSPP}-\texttt{N}-\texttt{FT}} \\ \texttt{PNYITSILGYLLLHLFVICLQA} \\ \texttt{PNYITSILGYLLLHLFVICLQA} \\ \texttt{PNYITSILGYLLLHLFVICLQA} \\ \texttt{PNYITSILGYLLLHLFVICLQA} \\ \texttt{PNYITSILGYLLLHLFVICLQA} \\ \texttt{PNYITSILGYLLLHLFVICLQA} \\ \texttt{PNYITSILGYLLHLFVICLQA} \\ \texttt{PNYITSILGYLLHLFVICLQA} \\ \texttt{PNYITSILGYLLHLFVICLQA} \\ \texttt{PNYITSILGYLLHLFVICLQA} \\ \texttt{PNYTVQSPP}-\texttt{PN}-\texttt{PN} \\ \texttt{PNYTVQSPP} \\ PNY$	70
SkoFgf8.1	RKVDAKGENGDIYARLIIETETF-SKVTIRGEESKFYLCMNSKGKAVGRPKKSGGRSYSCIFKESISDNGYTEYE	147
SkoFgf8.2	RKVDAKGENGDIYARLIIETETF-SKVTIRGEESKFYLCMNSKGKAVGRPKKSGGRSYSCIFKESISDNGYTEYE	143
XlaFgf8a	KKINAMAEDGDPHAKLIVETDTFGSRVRIKGAETGYYICMNKKGKLIGKTNGRGKDCVFSEIVLENNYTALQ	131
XlaFgf8b	$\tt KKINAMAEDGDPHAKLIVETDTFGSRVRIKGAETGYYICMNKKGKLIGKTNGRGKDCVFSEIVLENNYTALQ$	142
SkoFgf8.1	SVRYEGWFLSFGRDGKTKSALRTSSLKKAVQFMKRELPEVERTSNDDKQYERYFRTNVSQGTDKKR	213
SkoFgf8.2	SVRYEGWFLSFGRDGKTKSALRTSSLKKAVQFMKRELPEVERTSNDDKQYERYFRTNVSQGTDKKR	209
XlaFgf8a	NVKYEGWFMAFTRRGRPRKGSKTRQHQREVHFMKR-LPKGHHTTEPHKRFE-FINYPFNRRSKRTRYSSSR	200
XlaFgf8b	NVKYEGWFMAFTRRGRPRKGSKTRQHQREVHFMKR-LPKGHHTTEPHKRFE-FINYPFNRRSKRTRYSSSR	211



А



Fig. S2. Alignment of FGFs and phylogenetic tree of *fgf8/17/18* subfamily throughout deuterostomes. (A) Alignment of protein sequences of *Saccoglossus* FGF8/17/18.1 and FGF8/17/18.2 with *X. laevis* FGF8a and FGF8b. Red box indicates region different between *Saccoglossus* isoforms, and blue box indicates region spliced differently in *X. laevis*. (B) Cladogram derived from Bayesian phylogenetic analysis of deuterostome *fgf8/17/18* subfamily members. Posterior probabilities are shown above nodes, ML bootstraps are shown below nodes. *S. kowalevskii* genes are shown in red. Apis, *Apis mellifera*; Be, *Branchiostoma belcheri*; Bla, *Branchiostoma lancoleatum*; Bmo, *Bombyx mori*; Ci, *Ciona intestinalis*; Dme, *Drosophila melanogaster*; Dre, *Danio rerio*; Has, *Homo sapiens*; Nve, *Nematostella vectensis*; Pli, *Paracentrotus lividus*; Sfr, *Spodoptera frugiperda*; Sko, *Saccoglossus kowalevskii*; Spu, *Strongylocentrotus purpuratus*; Tca, *Tribolium castaneum*. Xla, *Xenopus laevis*.



Fig. S3. Supplemental FGF8/17/18 expression data, mesodermal gene expression in animal-vegetal recombinants, and embryos injected with alternate siRNAs. (A) Fluorescent *in situ* hybridization shows fgf8/17/18 is expressed in ectoderm. (B) Fluorescent *in situ* hybridization of the mesodermal marker *zic* and the endmesoderm/endoderm marker *foxA* at gastrula, postgastrula and early enteroocoely stages. (C) Mesoderm gene expression (*snail*) is visible in endomesoderm underlying grafted animal tissue, regardless of its position. (D) *mLim* expression is reduced by injection with *fgfr-B* siRNA-B. (E) Myosin heavy chain expression is reduced in embryos injected with *fgf8/17/18* siRNA-A. (F) *mLim* expression is strongly reduced in embryos injected with *fgf8/17/18* siRNA-B.



Fig. S4. RT-PCR data indicate that targeted siRNA injection reduces endogenous *fgfr-b* and *fgf8/17/18* transcripts. (A) *fgfr-b* expression in control or *fgfr-b* siRNA-a injected embryos, normalized to GAPDH levels. (B) *fgf8/17/18* expression in embryos that were either uninjected, injected with *fgf8/17/18* siRNA-a, or injected with a scrambled *fgf8/17/18* scramble siRNA, and were allowed to develop to post-gastrula stage. Transcript levels shown are normalized to β -actin levels. Error bars represent s.d.



Fig. S5. FGF receptor activity is necessary for mesoderm formation. (A-F) Control embryos treated with DMSO carrier beginning at late blastula. (G-L) Embryos treated with 20 μ M SU5402 beginning at late blastula stage, and fixed at the stages indicated. (M-R) Embryos treated with 8 μ M U0126 beginning at late blastula stage and fixed at the stages indicated.



Fig. S6. FGF receptor and MAPK activity are required early during mesoderm specification, and not later. (A) *snail* expression in embryos from experimental groups, fixed at the inhibitor start stage. (B) *mLim* expression in embryos treated with either DMSO, 20 μ M SU5402, or 8 μ M U0126 beginning at late blastula stage, midgastrula stage, late gastrula stage or postgastrula stage.

Tree Identifier Species Gene name Accession number Fig. S1A: Snail Sna1 Mmu M. musculus Snail NP_035557.1 SnailPma ACL98051.1 P. marinus Snail A. millepora SnailAmi Snail AA\$99630.1 SnailPca Snail CAD21523.1 P. carnea Sna3 Mmu M. musculus Snail 3 AAI00728.1 AAH62164.1 Sna2 Mmu M. musculus Snail 2 SnailSko S. kowalevskii Snail ACH68420.1 NP_999825.1 S. purpuratus SnailSpu Snail Snail1Pvu *P. vulgata* Snail 1 AAL06240.1 Snail2Pvu P. vulgata Snail 2 AAL12166.1 D. melanogaster Scratch NP_523911.2 ScratDme ScratHsa *H. sapiens* Scratch NP 112599.1 NP 033599.2 Fig. S1B: Zic Zic1 Mmu M. musculus Zic 1 Zic2 Mmu M. musculus Zic 2 NP 033600.3 Zic 3 Zic3 Mmu M. musculus NP 033601.2 Zic4 Mmu M. musculus Zic 4 NP 033602.2 Zic Bfl *B. floridae AmphiZic* CAB96573.1 Zic Cte C. teleta Zic ADN43078.1 Zic Zic Ttu *T. tubifex* BAE94131.1 ZicOpaSko S. kowalevskii Zic ACH68431.1 MachoCin C. intestinalis Macho NP_001027958.1 Macho Csa C. savignyi Macho BAB68349.1 Zic Spu S. purpuratus Zic XP 792929.1 Gli3 Mmu M. musculus Gli 3 NP_032156.2 XP_798511.2 GLIS3 Spu S. purpuratus Pred: sim to Gli 3 Fig. S1C: Prx Prx1 Mmu M. musculus Prx1 NP 035257.1 NP 001007822.1 Prx Gga G. gallus Prx Prx Ame A. mexicanum Sim to paired XP 001120105.1 mesoderm *homeobox* (*mPrx*) Prx Sko S. kowalevskii Mesoderm Prx ACY92615.1 Prx Cin *C*. *intestinalis* Prx1 CAC34833.1 Prx Cfl Camponotus Prx EFN69677.1 floridanus PrxHsaI Prx2b EFN83540.1 H. sapiens Fig. S1D: mLim BAA96552.1 Mlim Bbe B. belcheri mLim mLim Sko S. kowalevskii mLim ACH68442.1 NP_001087442.1 X. laevis Cgp2 Xla Cpg2NP_001153469.1 Mlim Nvi N. vitripenis Mlim Mlim Dme D. melanogaster Muscle lim NP 477122.1 Lim Nve AAR24455.1 N. vectensis Lim

Table S1. Accession numbers for hemichordate genes and other gene sequences shown in phylogenetic trees.

Fig. S1E: MLCa	MALC Gga	G. gallus	MALC	CAA32072.1
	MLC Xla	X. laevis	MLC	CAJ83221.1
	MALC Sko	S. kowalevskii	MALC	ACY92574
	MLC Bla	B. lancoleatum	MLC	ACE79715.1
	MALC Dme	D. melanogaster	MALC	AAA53446.1
Fig. S1F: Mesp	MyoD Mmu	M. musculus	Myod	AAA39798.1
	Myf5 Mmu	M. musculus	Myf5	AAI32145.1
	MyoD Cin	C. intestinalis	MyoD	AAB61359.1
	Myf5 Mmu	M. musculus	Myf5	AAI32145.1
	DreMyf5	D. rerio	Myf5	AAH90762.1
	MyoD Cin	C. intestinalis	MyoD	AAB61359.1
	Myog Mmu	M. musculus	Myogenin	AAB59676.1
	MRF4Mmu	M. musculus	Mrf4	P15375.1
	MyoD Sko	S. kowalevskii	MyoD	ACH68443.1
	Sum1 Spu	S. purpuratus	Sum1	AAD33917.1
	MyoD Bbe	B. belcheri	MyoD	AAR12639.1
	MyoD Cel	C. elegans	MyoD	P22980.2
	Nau Dme	D. melanogaster	Nautilus	CAA39629.1
	MespSko	S. kowalevskii	Mesp	NM_001168114
	MespBfl	B. floridae	Mesp	ABD57444.1
	Mesp1Mmu	M. musculus	Mesp 1	NP_032614.1
	Mesp2Mmu	M. musculus	Mesp 2	O08574.1
	Mesog1Mmu	M. musculus	Mesogenin	NP_062417.1
	MespoXla	X. laevis	Mespo	CAJ83750.1
	Mesog1Xtr	X. tropicalis	Mesogenin	NP_001039104.1
Fig. S2	Fgf8a2 Nve	N. vectensis	Fgf8a2	ABJ88943.1
_	FGF8/17/18	C. intestinalis	Fgf8/17/18	NP_001027648.1
	Ci			
	Fgf18 has	H. sapiens	Fgf18	AAQ89954.1
	Fgf8/17/18	B. lancoleatum	Fgf8/17/18	ACJ03790.1
	Bla			
	Fgf17 has	H. sapiens	Fgf17	NP_003851.1
	Fgf17 Dre	D. rerio	Fgf17	BAC55316.1
	Fgf8 Hsa	H. sapiens	Fgf8b	AAB40954.1
	Fgf8a Xla	X. laevis	Fgf8a	CAA71365.1
	Fgf8 Dre	D. rerio	Fgf8	AAB82614.1
	Fgf24 Dre	D. rerio	Fgf24	NP_878291.1
	Fgf18 Dre	D. rerio	Fgf18	AAQ22394.1
	Fgf8/17/18	S. kowalevskii	Fgf8/17/18	ADB22412.1
	fgfr-B	S. kowalevskii	Fgfr-B	ACV71297.1