A Gs-linked receptor maintains meiotic arrest in mouse oocytes, but luteinizing hormone does not cause meiotic resumption by terminating receptor-Gs signaling
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Abstract

The maintenance of meiotic prophase arrest in fully grown vertebrate oocytes depends on the activity of a Gs G-protein that activates adenylyl cyclase and elevates cAMP, and in the mouse oocyte, Gs is activated by a constitutively active orphan receptor, GPR3. To determine whether the action of luteinizing hormone (LH) on the mouse ovarian follicle causes meiotic resumption by inhibiting GPR3-Gs signaling, we examined the effect of LH on the localization of Gs. Gs activation in response to stimulation of an exogenously expressed \( \beta_2 \)-adrenergic receptor causes Gs to move from the oocyte plasma membrane into the cytoplasm, whereas Gs inactivation in response to inhibition of the \( \beta_2 \)-adrenergic receptor causes Gs to move back to the plasma membrane. However, LH does not cause a change in Gs localization, indicating that LH does not act by terminating receptor-Gs signaling.
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Introduction

Fully grown vertebrate oocytes are stored in the ovary for prolonged periods, arrested at meiotic prophase by a pathway requiring the activity of a Gs G-protein (Gallo et al., 1995; Mehlmann et al., 2002; Kalinowski et al., 2004). In mouse oocytes, Gs is activated by the receptor GPR3 (Mehlmann et al., 2004; Ledent et al., 2005; Hinckley et al., 2005; Mehlmann, 2005a), and in rat oocytes, this function is served by the related receptor GPR12 (Hinckley et al., 2005). Ligands for GPR3 and GPR12 have not been definitively identified, although some effects of sphingolipids have been reported (Uhlenbrock et al., 2002; Ignatov et al., 2003; Hinckley et al., 2005). These receptors show constitutive activity when expressed in a variety of cell lines (Eggerickx et al., 1995; Tanaka et al., 2007), so if an agonist is required for their activity, it would appear to be produced by the same cells that express the receptor. Likewise, GPR3 activates Gs even in isolated oocytes, and the level of Gs activity is independent of the presence of the surrounding somatic cells (Freudzon et al., 2005).

The primary adenylyl cyclase activated by Gs in mouse and rat oocytes is AC3 (Horner et al., 2003), and the resulting elevation of cAMP is essential for maintaining meiotic arrest (Eppig, 1991). This pathway involves cAMP-dependent protein kinase-mediated phosphorylation of the phosphatase CDC25B and the kinase WEE1B, which in turn keeps CDC2A, the kinase that directly controls the prophase-to-metaphase transition, in the phosphorylated and inactive state (Ferrell, 1999; Duckworth et al., 2002; Lincoln et al., 2002; Han et al., 2005).

Luteinizing hormone (LH) from the pituitary acts on the ovarian follicle to cause the resumption of meiosis in the oocyte, as well as ovulation (Eppig et al., 2004; Mehlmann, 2005b). Within mouse and rat follicles, LH receptors are located in the mural granulosa cells, and not in the cumulus cells that directly surround the oocyte, or in the oocyte itself (see Eppig et al., 2004; Mehlmann, 2005b).
The concept that the addition of the phosphodiesterase inhibitor hypoxanthine isolated from such follicles and maintained in prophase arrest by further supported by the finding that 93% of oocytes that were resumption if GPR3 signaling was turned off by LH action is spontaneously, with only follicles from prepubertal ovaries resume meiosis spontaneously, with only 10–20% remaining arrested in prophase (Masciarelli et al., 2004).

Possible pathways by which LH action on the somatic cells might decrease oocyte cAMP include decreasing the production of cAMP by inhibition of GPR3-G<sub>a</sub>-AC3 signaling, increasing the degradation of cAMP by stimulation of cAMP phosphodiesterase, or modulating transport of cAMP between the cumulus cells and oocyte (Fig. 1). Here we examine the first of these possibilities.

In Gpr3 knockout mice, ~80–90% of oocytes in antral follicles from prepubertal ovaries resume meiosis spontaneously, with only ~10–20% remaining arrested in prophase (Mehlmann et al., 2004; Ledent et al., 2005). The concept that almost all oocytes in antral follicles would show meiotic resumption if GPR3 signaling was turned off by LH action is further supported by the finding that 93% of oocytes that were isolated from such follicles and maintained in prophase arrest by the addition of the phosphodiesterase inhibitor hypoxanthine resumed meiosis when injected with a morpholino oligonucleotide targeting Gpr3 (Hinckley et al., 2005). Injection of follicle-enclosed oocytes with siRNA targeting Gpr3 also causes meiotic resumption in the majority of oocytes (Mehlmann, 2005a). When G<sub>a</sub> is turned off experimentally, by injecting follicle-enclosed mouse oocytes with an inhibitory antibody or a dominant negative form of G<sub>a</sub>, nuclear envelope breakdown and polar body formation occur (Mehlmann et al., 2002; Kalinowski et al., 2004), and likewise mice lacking Adcy3 show spontaneous resumption of meiosis (Horner et al., 2003). All of these observations indicate that inhibition of the GPR3-G<sub>a</sub>-AC3 pathway is a plausible mechanism by which LH might cause meiotic resumption. Specifically, LH-induced signaling from the mural granulosa cells could cause the cumulus cells to release a GPR3 antagonist onto the oocyte, or in some way could reduce the production of a GPR3 agonist in the oocyte.

Testing the hypothesis that LH signaling in the somatic cells results in a decrease in G<sub>a</sub> activity in the oocyte presents the challenge of measuring G<sub>a</sub> activity while the oocyte remains enclosed within the multilayered complex of somatic cells. If the somatic cells are removed from the oocyte, cAMP decreases (Törnell et al., 1999), and meiosis resumes spontaneously (Pincus and Enzmann, 1935). In order to avoid this problem, we used an optical method.

In various cell types including mouse oocytes (Freudzon et al., 2005), receptor-mediated activation of G<sub>a</sub> causes its α subunit to translocate from the plasma membrane to the cytoplasm (Marrari et al., 2007), which is due at least in part to depalmitoylation of G<sub>a</sub> (Wedegaertner and Bourne, 1994; Iiri et al., 1996; Thiyagarajan et al., 2002). The translocation of G<sub>a</sub> has been detected by subcellular fractionation (Rasenick et al., 1984; Levis and Bourne, 1992), immunostaining (Wedegaertner et al., 1996; Thiyagarajan et al., 2002; Freudzon et al., 2005), and most recently by use of fluorescent fusion proteins (Yu and Rasenick, 2002; Hynes et al., 2004; Allen et al., 2005; Freudzon et al., 2005). The G<sub>a</sub>GFP constructs used to monitor G<sub>a</sub> internalization in response to adrenergic receptor agonists also activate adenylyl cyclase in response to these agonists (Yu and Rasenick, 2002; Hynes et al., 2004). G<sub>a</sub> is then repalmitoylated (Jones et al., 1997), associates with intracellular vesicles (Hynes et al., 2004), and is transported back to the plasma membrane (Wedegaertner et al., 1996). Thus, if receptor stimulation is terminated, G<sub>a</sub> re-accumulates on the plasma membrane (Wedegaertner et al., 1996). In the experiments to be described, we examine whether LH application to follicle-enclosed mouse oocytes causes G<sub>a</sub> to accumulate in the oocyte plasma membrane.

**Materials and methods**

**Follicle and oocyte isolation and culture**

Ovaries were dissected from 22- to 27-day-old C57BL/6 × SJL F1 hybrid mice (The Jackson Laboratory, Bar Harbor, ME), and preantral follicles (140–180 μm diameter) or antral follicles (320–360 μm) were isolated using fine forceps or 30-gauge needles. All manipulations were performed in MEMs medium (#12000-022, Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA) with 25 mM NaHCO<sub>3</sub>, 75 μg/ml penicillin G, 50 μg/ml streptomycin sulfate, and 5–10% FBS.
Microinjection

Microinjections were performed using a chamber in which the oocyte or follicle was held between 2 coverslips separated by double stick tape (1 layer for oocytes and preantral follicles, 2 layers for antral follicles, resulting in spacers of 100 μm and 200 μm, respectively) (see Jaffe and Terasaki, 2004; Jaffe et al., in press; Supplementary video 1). The injection volumes were quantitated as previously described (Jaffe and Terasaki, 2004); the volume of the oocyte was considered to be 200 pl.

**GαsGFP localization**

RNAs encoding Gαs-GFP and the rat β2-adrenergic receptor were transcribed in vitro and post-translationally polyadenylated (see Aida et al., 2001; Freudzon et al., 2005). The amounts injected per oocyte were 3 pg and 30 fg, respectively. After injection, the oocytes were cultured for ∼24 h, to allow Gαs-GFP expression. Under these conditions, the amount of Gαs-GFP protein in the oocytes was comparable to the amount of endogenous Gαs (Freudzon et al., 2005). For imaging, isolated oocytes were placed in 10 μl droplets of medium on a coverslip-bottomed dish (P35G-0-20-C-INV, MatTek Corp., Ashland, MA) under oil, and transferred to droplets containing 10 μM isoproterenol or 10 μM propanolol (both from Sigma Chemical Co.), as indicated.

Gαs-GFP was imaged using a Zeiss 510 confocal microscope with a 40×/0.8 NA water immersion lens contacting the bottom surface of the dish (Freudzon et al., 2005). The dish was perfused with water-saturated 5% CO2/air, and was maintained at ∼30 °C by use of a heated stage; it was impractical to keep the dish at 37 °C, due to the contact between the dish and the immersion lens. Thus, it is possible, that the return of Gαs to the plasma membrane at 37 °C is somewhat more rapid than determined here. However, such a temperature effect would, if anything, strengthen the conclusion (see Results) that an LH-induced change in Gαs distribution would have occurred sufficiently rapidly to be detectable at 2–3 h after LH application. Plasma membrane : cytoplasm ratios were determined using Metamorph software (Freudzon et al., 2005).

**Gαs immunofluorescence**

For experiments involving expression of β2 adrenergic receptors, antral follicles were dissected from unprimed mice, and injected with 30 fg of RNA encoding the rat β2 adrenergic receptor (see above). After RNA injection, the follicles were cultured for 24 h on Millicell membranes. For experiments involving LH, antral follicles were dissected from ovaries of eCG-primed mice, and placed on Millicell membranes. Isoproterenol, propanolol, or LH were applied by exchanging the medium underlying the Millicell membranes, and after the indicated times, the follicles were collected from the membranes and placed in 4% paraformaldehyde (Electron Microscopy Sciences, Fort Washington, PA) in PBS at 4 °C.

After 2 h, the follicles were rinsed in PBS and embedded in 3% low gelling temperature agarose (SeaPlaque #50102; American Bioanalytical, Natick, MA). This was accomplished by placing them in 2.0-ml polypropylene tubes with conical bottoms (#72.693.005, Sarstedt Inc., Newton, NC), adding 1 ml of melted agarose at 50 °C, and stirring slightly with a toothpick. After solidifying the agarose by placing the tubes on ice for 1 h, the tips of the tubes were cut off with a razor blade, and the agarose blocks containing 6–10 follicles were trimmed and placed in 30% sucrose at 4 °C. After 1–2 h, the blocks were transferred to freezing medium (#HTFM, Triangle Biomedical Sciences, Inc., Durham, NC) in 6-mm-diameter gelatin capsules (#5214, Ernst F. Fullam, Inc., Latham, NY). The samples were frozen in isopentane cooled with dry ice, and 8–10 μm sections were cut using a cryostat, and collected on SuperFrost Plus glass slides (Fisher Scientific).

The sections were stained with an affinity purified antibody made against the C-terminal decapetide of Goαs, which recognizes Goαs in oocytes with high specificity (RM, 3–6 μg/ml; Simonds et al., 1989; Freudzon et al., 2005). The RM antibody was provided by T.L.Z. Jones (National Institutes of Health, Bethesda MD). The sections were imaged with a Pascal confocal microscope, with a 40×/1.2 NA objective (Carl Zeiss, Inc., Thornwood, NY). Other conditions were as previously described (Freudzon et al., 2005). Plasma membrane and cytoplasmic fluorescence intensities were measured using MetaMorph software (Molecular Devices Corp., Downingtown, PA), and ratios were calculated after subtraction of background values obtained from sections stained with nonimmune IgG and processed in parallel (see Freudzon et al., 2005).

**Evaluation of the kinetics of nuclear envelope breakdown in follicle-enclosed oocytes stimulated with LH or Gα inhibitory antibody**

To determine the time course of nuclear envelope breakdown following LH application, antral follicles from eCG-primed mice were placed on Millicell culture plates, and exposed to 1 μg/ml LH. At various times after LH application, cumulus–oocyte complexes were dissected from the follicles, and cumulus cells were stripped from the oocytes using a mouth-controlled pipet, to score the presence or absence of a prophase nucleus. Only oocytes from compact cumulus–oocyte complexes were included.

To determine the time course of nuclear envelope breakdown following injection of the Gα inhibitory antibody (RM), follicle-enclosed oocytes from unprimed mice were first placed in a microinjection chamber and injected with 10 pl of a 10 mg/ml stock of the antibody in PBS. This resulted in a final concentration of 500 μg/ml=3.3 μM of IgG in the oocyte. The follicles were then placed on Millicell culture plates held in a 35 mm dish, with a volume of 1.2–1.6 ml of medium beneath the membrane. This volume resulted in only a thin film of medium on top of each follicle, thus slightly flattening the follicle, and allowing the nucleus and nucleoli to be clearly visualized using an upright microscope with a working distance 20×/0.4 NA objective. (It should be noted that this simple and physiologically unperturbing observation method cannot be used with follicles from eCG-primed mice, because such follicles are not as optically clear). The dishes were maintained at 34–36 °C in an environment of water-saturated 5% CO2/air, except during brief (~1 min) observation periods. Only one injected follicle and one un.injected control follicle were placed together on an individual Millicell membrane, to minimize the time outside of the incubator needed for observation. The time of nuclear envelope breakdown was considered to be halfway between the last time point at which the nuclear envelope and nucleoli were visible, and the first time point at which they had disappeared. The time between these two observation points was 12–25 min.

**Results**

**Kinetics of Gαs movement between the oocyte plasma membrane and cytoplasm in response to receptor activation and inactivation**

In a previous study (Freudzon et al., 2005), we established that localization of Goαs can be used as an indicator of receptor activation of Gαs in follicle-enclosed mouse oocytes. In the absence of the Gα–activating receptor, GPR3, Goαs is primarily associated with the oocyte plasma membrane, and GPR3 expression causes Goαs to move into the oocyte cytoplasm. This
change in G\(\alpha\) localization was seen both by immunofluorescence and by expression of G\(\alpha\)\(_{s}\)GFP in live oocytes. However, these observations, made by comparing wild type or Gpr3\(^{−/−}\) oocytes, or Gpr3\(^{−/−}\) oocytes after injection of Gpr3 RNA, did not provide information about the kinetics of G\(\alpha\)\(_{s}\) movement between the plasma membrane and cytoplasm in response to receptor activation and inactivation. Such kinetic information is needed in order to use G\(\alpha\)\(_{s}\) localization to monitor the possible effect of LH action on G\(_{s}\) activity.

To examine these kinetics, we would have ideally liked to investigate the effect of agonists and antagonists of GPR3 on the time course of G\(\alpha\)\(_{s}\) relocalization. However, ligands for GPR3 have not been clearly identified, and the only proposed candidates, sphingosine 1-phosphate and dihydrosphingosine 1-phosphate, have small effects (Uhlenbrock et al., 2002). As an alternative, we used an exogenously expressed G\(_{s}\)-activating receptor, the \(\beta_2\)-adrenergic receptor, to change G\(\alpha\)\(_{s}\) localization in response to receptor activation and inactivation. \(\beta_2\)-adrenergic receptor activation caused G\(\alpha\)\(_{s}\) to move into the oocyte cytoplasm, as monitored with G\(\alpha\)\(_{s}\)GFP (see Freudzon et al., 2005, Supplementary material, and Fig. 2A of the present paper). \(\beta_2\)-Adrenergic receptor inactivation caused G\(\alpha\)\(_{s}\)GFP to

---

**Fig. 2.** Translocation of G\(\alpha\)\(_{s}\)GFP in oocytes in response to activation and inactivation of an exogenously expressed \(\beta_2\) adrenergic receptor. Oocytes were isolated from preantral follicles, and injected with RNAs encoding G\(\alpha\)\(_{s}\)GFP and the rat \(\beta_2\)-adrenergic receptor. (A) G\(\alpha\)\(_{s}\)GFP movement from the plasma membrane to cytoplasm in an oocyte in which the \(\beta_2\)-adrenergic receptor was activated with 10 \(\mu\)M isoproterenol. (B) G\(\alpha\)\(_{s}\)GFP movement back to the plasma membrane when isoproterenol was washed out and replaced with 10 \(\mu\)M propranolol. In panels A and B, the black areas within the oocyte are the nucleus and an oil drop introduced by the microinjection; one or both are visible, depending on the optical section. Because the oocytes used for these experiments were obtained from preantral follicles, they remained prophase arrested throughout the entire period. G\(\alpha\)\(_{s}\)GFP was expressed at a level comparable to that of endogenous G\(\alpha\)\(_{s}\) (see Freudzon et al., 2005). There was no significant autofluorescence in oocytes without G\(\alpha\)\(_{s}\)GFP and imaged under identical conditions (see Freudzon et al., 2005). (C) Plasma membrane:cytoplasm G\(\alpha\)\(_{s}\)GFP fluorescence ratios as a function of time, for the oocyte illustrated in panels A and B. The mean ratio for G\(\alpha\)\(_{s}\)GFP in isolated oocytes expressing the \(\beta_2\)-adrenergic receptor, but without agonist stimulation, was 6.1±0.5 (mean±SEM, \(n=6\)), which is slightly smaller than that reported previously for isolated oocytes without exogenous receptor expression (8.8±0.8, \(n=19\)) (Freudzon et al., 2005), perhaps due to some degree of constitutive activity of the \(\beta_2\)-adrenergic receptor (Chidiac et al., 1994). At 30 min after isoproterenol application, the mean plasma membrane:cytoplasm fluorescence ratio was 2.1±0.1 (mean±SEM, \(n=6\)).
move back to the plasma membrane (Fig. 2B). For these experiments, we used prophase-arrested oocytes that had been isolated from preantral follicles, rather than those from antral follicles, to prevent spontaneous resumption of meiosis in culture. We injected RNA encoding the β2-adrenergic receptor, along with RNA encoding GoαsGFP; ∼ 24 h later, we imaged GoαsGFP as a function of time after applying a β2-adrenergic receptor agonist (isoproterenol), followed by an antagonist (propranolol) (Figs. 2A and B).

In response to 10 μM isoproterenol, GoαsGFP moved into the cytoplasm with a half time of 6±1 min (mean±SEM, n=6) (Fig. 2C). After exchanging the medium to wash out isoproterenol and to add 10 μM propranolol, the time for the plasma membrane:cytoplasm fluorescence ratio to return to a value halfway between those before and after applying isoproterenol was 67±3 min (n=4) (Fig. 2C). From this, we concluded that if LH caused inactivation of the receptor (GPR3) that keeps Gs active in the plasma membrane of antral follicle-enclosed oocytes, this would result in an easily detectable change in Goα distribution within less than 1 h.

We also examined whether activation of the β2-adrenergic receptor with isoproterenol would cause endogenous Goα to move into the oocyte cytoplasm, and whether subsequent inactivation of this receptor with propranolol would cause a

---

**Fig. 3.** Translocation of endogenous Goα in antral follicle-enclosed oocytes in response to activation and inactivation of an exogenously expressed β2 adrenergic receptor. (A) Immunofluorescence image of a cryosection of an antral follicle labeled with an antibody against Goα. Goα is present in the oocyte, as well as the cumulus cells, mural granulosa cells, and theca cells. The black space within the oocyte cytoplasm is the prophase nucleus. The black space between the mural granulosa cells and the cumulus cells is the fluid-filled antrum. For immunoblots demonstrating antibody specificity, and for images illustrating the absence of significant fluorescence in sections stained with nonimmune IgG, see Freudzon et al. (2005). (B) A magnified view of the oocyte from another follicle like that shown in panel A. (C) An antral follicle-enclosed oocyte expressing the β2-adrenergic receptor and treated for 1 h with 10 μM isoproterenol, then fixed and cryosectioned for Goα immunofluorescence imaging. (D) An antral follicle-enclosed oocyte expressing the β2-adrenergic receptor and treated for 1 hour with 10 μM isoproterenol, followed by 1 h with 10 μM propranolol, then fixed and cryosectioned for Goα immunofluorescence imaging. (E) Plasma membrane:cytoplasm Goα immunofluorescence ratios in antral follicle-enclosed oocytes for each of the conditions shown in the images above the graph (mean±SEM, n=number of oocytes): no β2-AR (3.3±0.24); β2-AR+ISO (1.9±0.11); β2-AR+ISO+PROP (2.7±0.21). The value for β2-AR+ISO is statistically different from both of the other values (unpaired t-test, p<0.04), but the value for β2-AR+ISO+PROP is not different from the value for no β2-AR (p=0.13). (Note that the absolute values of the ratios seen in the optical sections of isolated oocytes in Fig. 2 are artifactually higher than those seen in the physically sectioned specimens in this figure, due to an optical effect as previously described (Freudzon et al., 2005).)
return of $\alpha_s$ to the plasma membrane. Antral follicle-enclosed oocytes were injected with RNA encoding the $\beta_2$-adrenergic receptor, then incubated for 24 h to allow protein expression. They were then treated with isoproterenol, or with isoproterenol followed by propranolol, and then fixed, frozen, sectioned, and stained for $\alpha_s$ immunofluorescence imaging (Fig. 3).

The application of isoproterenol to follicle-enclosed oocytes expressing the $\beta_2$-adrenergic receptor caused the ratio of $\alpha_s$ immunofluorescence in the plasma membrane vs. cytoplasm to decrease to 58% of that in the control oocytes without $\beta_2$-adrenergic receptor (Fig. 3). 60 min after washout of the isoproterenol and addition of the propranolol, the ratio had returned to 82% of that in the control. Although it was impractical to use these methods to obtain a time course of the return of $\alpha_s$ to the plasma membrane following application of propranolol, as we did for $\alpha_s$GFP in live oocytes (Fig. 2), these results showed that at 1 h, a statistically significant relocation of $\alpha_s$ was detectable (see Fig. 3 legend).

**LH application to antral follicles does not cause a change in $\alpha_s$ localization**

To determine if LH action inhibited $G_\alpha$ in antral follicle-enclosed oocytes, we examined whether LH application to isolated follicles caused $\alpha_s$ to redistribute from the oocyte cytoplasm to the plasma membrane, as seen with propranolol. Follicles were cultured for 1–3 h with or without 1 $\mu$g/ml LH, and then fixed and prepared for $\alpha_s$ immunofluorescence. These times for LH treatment were chosen because after 3 h almost all oocytes have undergone nuclear envelope breakdown (Fig. 4A).

Immunostaining for $\alpha_s$ showed a plasma membrane:cytoplasm fluorescence ratio in the oocyte that was not significantly different for samples with or without LH treatment for 1–3 h (Fig. 5). The lack of effect of LH on $\alpha_s$ localization is in contrast to the 3.7× increase in the ratio of $\alpha_s$ immunofluorescence in the plasma membrane:cytoplasm, comparing oocytes with and without the GPR3 receptor (Freudzon et al., 2005; see Fig. 5E). Thus, these results indicated that LH action did not terminate the GPR3-mediated activation of oocyte $G_\alpha$, since this would have been expected to cause $\alpha_s$ to accumulate in the plasma membrane, if sufficient time had elapsed between $G_\alpha$ inactivation and fixation such that $\alpha_s$ could have relocated (see Figs. 2 and 3).

**Time between $G_\alpha$ inactivation and nuclear envelope breakdown**

In order to interpret the results described above, we next determined how much time would be required to cause nuclear envelope breakdown, if LH acted by turning off $G_\alpha$ signaling. To do this, we injected antral follicle-enclosed oocytes with an affinity-purified antibody against the C-terminal 10 amino acids of the $\alpha$ subunit of $G_\alpha$ that specifically recognizes the 52 and 45 kDa forms of $\alpha_s$ present in mouse oocytes (Mehlmann et al., 2002). This antibody inhibits the stimulation of adenylyl cyclase activity in membranes from frog oocytes (Gallo et al., 1995) as well as other cells (Simonds et al., 1989; Nair et al., 1990), in response to hydrolysis-resistant GTP analogs or $\beta$-adrenergic receptor stimulation. These studies have shown that binding of the antibody to the C-terminus of the GTP-bound form of $\alpha_s$ reduces its ability to stimulate adenylyl cyclase, and have indicated that it may also interfere with the interaction of $\alpha_s$ with receptors. When injected into follicle-enclosed mouse oocytes, the $\alpha_s$ antibody decreases cAMP as measured with a fluorescent indicator (unpublished results), and causes meiotic resumption (Mehlmann et al., 2002).

After injection of the $\alpha_s$ antibody, follicle-enclosed oocytes were placed on optically clear Millicell membranes, such that...
they were slightly flattened by surface tension (see Materials and methods). Under these conditions, the nuclear envelope and 1–2 nucleoli within the nucleus could be visualized (Fig. 4B) without the use of glass coverslips, which can inhibit gas exchange. Of 8 injected oocytes, 6 underwent nuclear envelope breakdown at 67±5 min (mean±SEM) (Fig. 4C). (2 other oocytes did not undergo nuclear envelope breakdown within a 3-h period of observation.) 8/9 uninjected oocytes were prophase-arrested at 3 h, and a previous study has shown that injection of nonimmune IgG does not cause nuclear envelope breakdown (Mehlmann et al., 2004). From these observations, we determined that the time between Gs inactivation and nuclear envelope breakdown is ~1 h. Thus, if LH action had caused nuclear envelope breakdown by terminating Gs activation, a change in Gs distribution should have been visible by the time of nuclear envelope breakdown, based on the kinetics of the recycling of Gαs to the plasma membrane shown in Figs. 2 and 3.

**Discussion**

The maintenance of meiotic prophase arrest in follicle-enclosed mouse oocytes depends on the constitutive activity of the Gs-linked receptor GPR3 (Mehlmann et al., 2004; Ledent et al., 2005; Hinckley et al., 2005; Mehlmann, 2005a), suggesting that LH action on the mouse ovarian follicle could cause meiotic resumption by way of terminating receptor-Gs signaling in the oocyte. The experiments presented here argue against this hypothesis. Gs activity was monitored by measuring the relative distribution of Gαs in the oocyte plasma membrane and cytoplasm. LH did not cause a change in this distribution, under conditions where it would have been detected if GPR3-Gs signaling had been turned off. One caveat is that the method we used to monitor Gs activity would not have detected a transient decrease, due to the delay in the response of the indicator, and thus we can only conclude that LH does not cause the GPR3-Gs
pathway to inactivate and remain inactivated during the 3-h duration of the experiment.

The kinetics of nuclear envelope breakdown following experimental inhibition of Gs (Fig. 4C) show that if LH acted by terminating GPR3-Gs signaling, the time of inhibition would precede nuclear envelope breakdown by only ~1 h. Nuclear envelope breakdown occurs at ~2–3 h after LH application (Fig. 4A), indicating that if termination of GPR3-Gs signaling was the cause of nuclear envelope breakdown, the inactivation would have to occur at ~1–2 h after LH application. However, since the method we used to analyze Gs activity imposes a delay of ~1 h between the inhibition of Gs and the detectability of a change in Goα localization (Figs. 2 and 3), such a change would not be expected to be detectable before 2–3 h after LH application.

The only established means by which cellular Gs activity can be regulated by extracellular signals is by way of regulation of a Gs-linked receptor. Another class of proteins that regulate heterotrimeric G-proteins, the cytoplasmic “RGS” proteins, have not as yet been demonstrated to have any effect on Gs activity (see Wieland et al., 2007), but in principle, such a receptor-independent means of inhibiting Gs activity might exist, and might not result in Goα movement to the plasma membrane. Our findings cannot exclude this possibility. Nevertheless, the concept that LH causes the termination of an ongoing supply of a GPR3 agonist, or causes the release of a GPR3 antagonist, does not appear to account for the signal that causes meiotic resumption in mouse oocytes.

Several other optical methods for measuring Gs activity are available, but none of these appear to be applicable to follicle-enclosed mouse oocytes. One such approach is based on the change in localization of G protein-coupled receptors from plasma membrane to cytoplasm, in response to activation (Hynes et al., 2004). Another involves fluorescence resonance energy transfer (FRET) between a YFP tag on a Gαs-linked receptor, and a CFP tag on a G protein γ subunit (Hein et al., 2006). However, endogenous expression levels of the GPR3 receptor are ~10× lower than can be detected by fluorescent probes (Freudzon et al., 2005), and as a consequence of the low expression level, specific antibodies for detecting native amounts of GPR3 have not been found (unpublished results). Thus neither of these methods could be used to study the effect of LH on GPR3-Gs signaling at endogenous GPR3 expression levels. Gs activity can also be monitored by measuring FRET between its α and γ subunits (Hein et al., 2006), but the FRET changes that have been detected with currently available probes are too small for studying the LH response in the oocyte, due to its slow time course. Thus further studies of possible regulation of receptor-Gs signaling in the follicle-enclosed mouse oocyte are likely to require the development of new optical techniques.

In a previous study, we established that LH does not cause meiotic resumption in the mouse oocyte by activating a G protein of the Gs family, which inhibits adenylyl cyclase (Mehlmann et al., 2006). LH action also does not require an increase in oocyte Ca2+, which could in principle act to cause meiotic resumption by inhibiting the Ca2+-sensitive enzyme AC3 (Mehlmann et al., 2006). As illustrated in Fig. 1, two other possibilities for how LH signaling might lower oocyte cAMP and stimulate meiotic resumption in mouse oocytes are stimulation of cAMP phosphodiesterase in the oocyte (Eppig et al., 1985; Richard et al., 2001; Han et al., 2006), or regulation of cAMP flux through gap junctions with the somatic cells (see Sela-Abramovich et al., 2006). Although elevated cAMP is required to maintain meiotic arrest (see Introduction), another unidentified factor from the follicle cells is also required, since removal of oocytes from their follicles causes meiotic resumption, even though GPR3/Gs activity is not reduced by oocyte isolation (see Freudzon et al., 2005). This unidentified factor could be cAMP, a regulator of cAMP such as an inhibitor of PDE3A, or a molecule unrelated to cAMP. Thus, it is also possible that LH acts by reducing the activity of this unidentified follicle cell factor.

While a decrease in oocyte cAMP has been reported to occur in response to LH receptor stimulation, prior to nuclear envelope breakdown in both mouse and rat (Schultz et al., 1983; Sela-Abramovich et al., 2006), such a decrease has not been seen in hamster (Hubbard, 1986), and all of these measurements are complicated by the issue that the oocytes had to be removed from their follicles in order to carry out radioimmunoassays. Thus, optical methods for measurement of cAMP (see Nikolaev and Lohse, 2006) in live oocytes within intact follicles would be very useful. The strongest evidence that a decrease in cAMP is required for LH action is that mice lacking the primary cAMP phosphodiesterase found in the oocyte, PDE3A, produce oocytes with elevated cAMP, which are ovulated in response to LH, but remain arrested in prophase (Masciarelli et al., 2004). However, since basal cAMP is elevated above the normal level in such oocytes, the lack of LH-stimulated meiotic resumption in oocytes from Pde3a knockout mice does not definitively show that a decrease in cAMP from its normal basal level is a required step in LH signaling. The possibility needs to be considered that LH in some way bypasses the cAMP requirement for maintenance of meiotic arrest, acting at a level closer to the CDC2A kinase that regulates the prophase-to-metaphase transition.
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Appendix A. Supplementary online video

A supplementary video, showing microinjection of an antral follicle-enclosed oocyte, can be found, in the online version, at doi:10.1016/j.ydbio.2007.07.017.
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