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MFP Benchmarks
1) Transition 5200 people from qualified institutions to the community
2) Increase dollars to home and community based services
3) Increase hospital discharges to the community rather than to institutions
4) Increase probability of returning to the community during the six months following nursing home admission
5) Increase the percentage of long term care participants living in the community compared to an institution

Benchmark 1: The number of demonstration consumers transitioned = 4,800 (non-demonstration transitions = 330)

Benchmark 2: CT Medicaid Long-Term Care Expenditures

Benchmark 3: Percentage of Hospital Discharges to Home and Community Care vs. Skilled Nursing Facility

Benchmark 4: Percent of SNF admissions returning to the community within 6 months

Benchmark 5: Percent Receiving LTSS in the Community vs. Institutions

Happy or unhappy with the way you live your life*

*happy
*unhappy
Referrals to Transition Coordinators: Q1 2009 to Q2 2018

Excludes nursing home closures *Increase in referrals reflects the ongoing adjustment to MFP reorganization

Number of Transitions by Quarter: 12/2008 - 6/30/2018
### Target Population Summary for Referrals through Q2 2018 (Demonstration Only)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Benchmark for Transitions</th>
<th>Referrals (n=11,172)</th>
<th>Signed Informed Consents (n=8,947)</th>
<th>Transitions (n=4,800)</th>
<th>Closed w/o Transitioning (n=2,868)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Physical Disability</td>
<td>35%</td>
<td>47%</td>
<td>47%</td>
<td>45%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mental Health</td>
<td>21%</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elderly</td>
<td>42%</td>
<td>38%</td>
<td>39%</td>
<td>42%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Developmental Disability</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Qualified Residence Type for Transitioned Referrals: 12/4/08 to 6/30/18

- Apartment Leased By Participant, Not Assisted Living: 72%
- Home Owned By Family Member: 14%
- Home Owned By Participant: 10%
- Group Home No More Than 4 People: 2%
- Apartment Leased By Participant, Assisted Living: 0.1%
- Home Owned By Participant: 10%
- Not Reported: 2%

### Reinstitutionalization:
12% (532) of participants who transitioned by June 30, 2017 were in an institution 12 months after their transition.*

### Cumulative Number of Clients Who Transitioned and Those with Home Modifications by Region

- Eastern: Transitioned 444, Home Modification 67
- North Central: Transitioned 1829, Home Modification 192
- Northwest: Transitioned 783, Home Modification 170
- South Central: Transitioned 1073, Home Modification 203
- Southwest: Transitioned 681, Home Modification 90

Note: Track 2 referrals not included.

*Corrected calculation
MFP
Quality of Life Dashboard
As of 06/30/2018

Happy or unhappy with your help around the house or in the community*

- happy
- unhappy

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Baseline</th>
<th>6 Month</th>
<th>12 Month</th>
<th>24 Month</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>74%</td>
<td>88%</td>
<td>89%</td>
<td>90%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27%</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>10%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Do you like where you live?*

- yes
- sometimes
- no

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Baseline</th>
<th>6 Month</th>
<th>12 Month</th>
<th>24 Month</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>32%</td>
<td>41%</td>
<td>27%</td>
<td>12%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4%</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>84%</td>
<td>80%</td>
<td>80%</td>
<td>80%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Did family or friends help you with things around the house?*

- yes
- no

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Baseline</th>
<th>6 Month</th>
<th>12 Month</th>
<th>24 Month</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>48%</td>
<td>52%</td>
<td>46%</td>
<td>54%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>52%</td>
<td>48%</td>
<td>46%</td>
<td>42%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>58%</td>
<td>52%</td>
<td>42%</td>
<td>58%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Do the people who help you treat you the way you want them to?*

- yes
- no

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Baseline</th>
<th>6 Month</th>
<th>12 Month</th>
<th>24 Month</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>84%</td>
<td>96%</td>
<td>96%</td>
<td>95%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16%</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Depressive Symptoms*

- yes
- no

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Baseline</th>
<th>6 Month</th>
<th>12 Month</th>
<th>24 Month</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>58%</td>
<td>42%</td>
<td>47%</td>
<td>47%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>53%</td>
<td>47%</td>
<td>47%</td>
<td>47%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>53%</td>
<td>47%</td>
<td>47%</td>
<td>47%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>53%</td>
<td>47%</td>
<td>47%</td>
<td>47%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Average number of areas of choice and control*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Baseline</th>
<th>6 Month</th>
<th>12 Month</th>
<th>24 Month</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4.10</td>
<td>5.16</td>
<td>5.17</td>
<td>5.11</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Community integration - Do you do fun things in the community?*

- yes
- no

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Baseline</th>
<th>6 Month</th>
<th>12 Month</th>
<th>24 Month</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>44%</td>
<td>56%</td>
<td>53%</td>
<td>47%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>56%</td>
<td>56%</td>
<td>56%</td>
<td>56%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>58%</td>
<td>58%</td>
<td>44%</td>
<td>42%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*indicates statistically significant differences
Quality of Life Interviews Completed
(Cumulative data through 06/30/18)

Baseline interviews done prior to transition, n=5193
6 month interviews done 6 mos after transition, n=3977
12 month interviews done 12 mos after transition, n=3589
24 month interviews done 24 mos after transition, n=2673

Healthcare unmet need*

Personal care - unmet needs*

Do you have any assistive technology (AT)?

Activities of Daily Living scores
Range 0 - 6; 0=can do all ADLs independently; 6=need assistance with all*

Instrumental Activities of Daily Living scores
Range 0-7; 0=can do all IADLs independently; 7=need assistance with all*

Rate Your Overall Health*
Transition Challenges through 6/30/18

Transition coordinators (TCs) and specialized care managers (SCMs) complete a standardized challenges checklist for each consumer. There were a total of 14,842 MFP referrals to SCM Supervisors. Challenges checklists were completed for 9,953 of these referrals, representing 9,120 consumers. Excluding the referrals which indicated “no challenges,” the challenges checklist generated 61,867 separate challenges. Of these, the most frequently chosen challenge was physical health (16.8%), followed by challenges related services and supports (16.0%), to housing (15.3%), mental health (12.9%), and consumer engagement (10.3%).

Be sure to check the LINK to the full Transition Challenges report.

http://health.uconn.edu/aging/research-reports

click on the Money Follows the Person tab

Type of challenge by transition status

The figure below shows the percentage of each group (those who transitioned and those who closed before transitioning) which had each challenge. For example, of the referrals that closed without transitioning, 72 percent had a physical health challenge. Conversely, 63 percent of referrals that did transition had physical health challenges.

Nine of the twelve challenge categories had statistically significant differences between the two groups.
Types of Challenges — through 6/30/2018

Shown below are the six most common challenge types

Physical health
- Current, new or undisclosed physical health problem
- Inability to manage physical disability or physical illness in community
- Medical testing issues or delays
- Missing or waiting for physical health documents
- Other physical health issues

Mental health
- Current or history of substance/alcohol abuse w/ risk of relapse
- Current, new, or undisclosed mental health problem
- Dementia or cognitive issues
- Inability to manage mental health in community
- Other mental health issues

Housing
- Delays related to housing authority, agency or housing coordinator
- Delays related to lease, landlord, apartment manager, etc.
- Needs housing modifications before transition
- Ineligible or waiting for approval from RAP or other housing programs
- Lacks affordable, accessible community housing
- Housing related legal, criminal or credit issues, including evictions or unpaid rent
- Other housing related issues

Consumer engagement
- Disengagement or lack/loss of motivation
- Lack of awareness or unrealistic expectations
- Lack of independent living skills
- Language or communication skills
- Other consumer related issues

Services and supports
- Lack of alcohol, substance abuse, or addiction services
- Lack of AT or DME
- Lack of mental health services or supports
- Lack of PCA, home health, or other paid support staff
- Lack of transportation
- Lack of any other services or supports
- Lack of unpaid caregiver to provide care/informal support
- Other issues related to services or supports

Financial
- Consumer credit or unpaid bills
- Lack of or insufficient financial resources
- SSDI, SSI, SAGA, SSA, VA or other cash benefits
- Medicaid eligibility or insurance issues
- Other financial benefits or issues
- Other financial challenge

For the full report on transition challenges through 6/30/2018, use the link on page 7 to get to the Center on Aging website.
Percentage of Closed Cases by Closure Reason: April-June 2018

- COP/Guardian refused participation: 2%
- Participant changed their mind and would like to remain in the facility: 7%
- Transitioned to community before informed consent signed: 8%
- Participant would not cooperate with care planning process: 15%
- Exceeds physical health needs: 22%
- Other: 20%
- Participant not aware of referral & does not wish to participate: 18%
- Reinstitutionalized for 90 days or more: 6%
- Exceeds mental health needs: 2%
- Participant moved out of state: 0.3%

*Excludes NH closure and Chelsea/Touchpoints Manchester mass referrals of 12/23/16 or later

Comparison of Closures, Referrals and Transitions per Quarter

- Total closures excluding: died, nursing home closure, completed participation, non-demo transition services completed
- New referrals excluding nursing home closures
- Total cases transitioned
- Closures per 100 new referrals
- Transitions per 100 new referrals
Rosalyn Reid’s Story

Four years ago Roslyn Reid was living with her husband in the state of Pennsylvania. She was surrounded by an extensive network of friends, many of whom she met through her involvement in the local church. Unfortunately while living here, Roslyn lost her husband and her family and friends began noticing changes in her speech, walking, and behaviors. Eventually, it was determined that Roslyn had been having mini strokes and multiple aneurisms in her brain. The many medications combined with her daily symptoms were hard to manage on her own.

Roslyn’s family was living in New York and Connecticut, and knew she needed to be with them for her safety and well-being. Upon moving here, she lived with her brother Kevin and his wife but it soon became clear that Roslyn was in need of 24 hour care, which they were unequipped to provide at the time. In a pinch, it seemed they had no choice other than for Roslyn to move into a skilled nursing facility for the time being.

Despite her satisfaction with the care she received, Roslyn found herself in a state of sadness with a diminished sense of independence. Towards the end of her stay at the nursing home, she was even treated for depression. She wanted to live in her own home again where she could be free to come and go and make choices for herself. Roslyn’s family wanted nothing more than to see her happy and to bring out the vibrant woman Roslyn was once again. They began discussing Roslyn’s discharge with the facility social worker and were introduced to the Money Follows the Person program. She qualified for the acquired brain injury waiver which provides multiple services including respite care, hours for a personal care assistant, and even monetary compensation for Roslyn’s daughter who would be living with and caring for her mother every day. Most recently, the program provided an iPad that allows Roslyn to play games and do exercises that help strengthen her brain. Fortunately for Roslyn, her brother Kevin is a realtor and while these services were being arranged, he was able to find her a beautiful apartment.

With the help of a care manager and transition coordinator from Money Follows the Person, the transition into her new apartment instigated an immediate change in Roslyn’s attitude and personality. She has found a new sense of independence and motivation that has improved her overall happiness ten-fold, even allowing her to stop taking the anti-depressants that she was prescribed at the nursing home. She can now do the things she really enjoys such as shopping for herself, adding personal touches to her apartment, going for walks, and hanging out at the park. She is able to visit specialty doctors for things that could not be addressed before and as a result her overall health and well-being have also improved. Most importantly to Roslyn, she is also now surrounded and cared for by her family and has the option to visit those who live in other areas. Kevin summed up his sister’s success with the program stating, “This program has provided a significant quality of life change that is night and day.”

MFP Demonstration Background

The Money Follows the Person Rebalancing Demonstration, created by Section 6071 of the Deficit Reduction Act (DRA) of 2005 (P.L. 109-171), supports States’ efforts to “rebalance” their long-term support systems. The DRA reflects a growing consensus that long-term supports must be transformed from being institutionally-based and provider-driven to person-centered and consumer-controlled. The MFP Rebalancing Demonstration is a part of a comprehensive coordinated strategy to assist States, in collaboration with stakeholders, to make widespread changes to their long-term care support systems.

One of the major objectives of the Money Follows the Person Rebalancing Demonstration is “to increase the use of home and community based, rather than institutional, long-term care services.” MFP supports grantee States to do this by offering an enhanced Federal Medical Assistance Percentage (FMAP) on demonstration services for individuals who have transitioned from qualified institutions to qualified residences. In addition to this enhanced match, MFP also offers states the flexibility to provide Supplemental Services that would not ordinarily be covered by the Medicaid program (e.g. home computers, cooking lessons, peer-to-peer mentoring, transportation, additional transition services, etc.) that will assist in successful transitions. States are then expected to reinvest the savings over the cost of institutional services to rebalance their long-term care services for older adults and people with disabilities to a community-based orientation.